



# MMWR<sup>TM</sup>

## Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report

Recommendations and Reports

February 8, 2002 / Vol. 51 / No. RR-2

### General Recommendations on Immunization

Recommendations of the Advisory Committee  
on Immunization Practices (ACIP)  
and the American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP)



**INSIDE: Continuing Education Examination**

**CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION**

**SAFER • HEALTHIER • PEOPLE<sup>TM</sup>**

The *MMWR* series of publications is published by the Epidemiology Program Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Atlanta, GA 30333.

### SUGGESTED CITATION

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. General recommendations on immunization: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices and the American Academy of Family Physicians. *MMWR* 2002;51(No. RR-2): [inclusive page numbers].

#### Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Jeffrey P. Koplan, M.D., M.P.H.  
*Director*

David W. Fleming, M.D.  
*Deputy Director for Science and Public Health*

Dixie E. Snider, Jr., M.D., M.P.H.  
*Associate Director for Science*

#### Epidemiology Program Office

Stephen B. Thacker, M.D., M.Sc.  
*Director*

#### Office of Scientific and Health Communications

John W. Ward, M.D.  
*Director*  
*Editor, MMWR Series*

Suzanne M. Hewitt, M.P.A.  
*Managing Editor*

C. Kay Smith-Akin, M.Ed.  
*Project Editor*

Beverly J. Holland  
*Visual Information Specialist*

Michele D. Renshaw  
Erica R. Shaver  
*Information Technology Specialists*

**On the Cover:** Detail from illustration of *Edward Jenner Vaccinating James Phipps*; artist and date unknown. Photograph © 2002 Bettman/Corbis. Reproduced with permission.

On May 14, 1796, Edward Jenner, an English physician, inoculated James Phipps, age 8, with material from a cowpox lesion on the hand of a milkmaid. Jenner subsequently demonstrated that the child was protected against smallpox. This procedure became known as vaccination, which resulted in the global eradication of smallpox 181 years later.

### CONTENTS

Introduction .....	1
Timing and Spacing of Immunobiologics .....	2
General Principles for Vaccine Scheduling .....	2
Spacing of Multiple Doses of the Same Antigen .....	2
Simultaneous Administration .....	4
Nonsimultaneous Administration .....	5
Spacing of Antibody-Containing Products and Vaccines .....	6
Interchangeability of Vaccines from Different Manufacturers .....	8
Lapsed Vaccination Schedule .....	8
Unknown or Uncertain Vaccination Status .....	8
Contraindications and Precautions .....	8
Vaccine Administration .....	11
Infection Control and Sterile Technique .....	11
Recommended Routes of Injection and Needle Length .....	12
Multiple Vaccinations .....	12
Jet Injection .....	13
Methods for Alleviating Discomfort and Pain Associated with Vaccination .....	13
Nonstandard Vaccination Practices .....	13
Preventing Adverse Reactions .....	14
Managing Acute Vaccine Reactions .....	14
Occupational Safety Regulations .....	15
Storage and Handling of Immunobiologics .....	15
Special Situations .....	16
Concurrently Administering Antimicrobial Agents and Vaccines .....	16
Tuberculosis Screening and Skin Test Reactivity .....	16
Severe Allergy to Vaccine Components .....	16
Latex Allergy .....	17
Vaccination of Premature Infants .....	18
Breast-Feeding and Vaccination .....	18
Vaccination During Pregnancy .....	18
Vaccination of Internationally Adopted Children .....	19
Altered Immunocompetence .....	22
Vaccination of Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant Recipients .....	23
Vaccinating Persons with Bleeding Disorders and Persons Receiving Anticoagulant Therapy .....	23
Vaccination Records .....	24
Consent to Vaccinate .....	24
Provider Records .....	24
Patients' Personal Records .....	24
Registries .....	24
Reporting Adverse Events After Vaccination .....	24
Vaccine Injury Compensation Program .....	25
Benefit and Risk Communication .....	25
Vaccination Programs .....	26
Vaccine Information Sources .....	28
National Immunization Information Hotline .....	28
CDC's National Immunization Program .....	28
Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report .....	28
American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) .....	28
American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP) .....	28
Immunization Action Coalition .....	28
National Network for Immunization Information .....	28
Vaccine Education Center .....	28
Institute for Vaccine Safety .....	28
National Partnership for Immunization .....	28
State and Local Health Departments .....	28
References .....	29
Abbreviations Used in This Publication .....	34
Definitions Used in This Report .....	34
Continuing Education Examination .....	CE-1

## General Recommendations on Immunization

### Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) and the American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP)

Prepared by

William L. Atkinson, M.D.<sup>1</sup>

Larry K. Pickering, M.D.<sup>2</sup>

Benjamin Schwartz, M.D.<sup>3</sup>

Bruce G. Weniger, M.D.<sup>3</sup>

John K. Iskander, M.D.<sup>3</sup>

John C. Watson, M.D.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Immunization Services Division

<sup>2</sup>Office of the Director

<sup>3</sup>Epidemiology and Surveillance Division

National Immunization Program

<sup>4</sup>Division of Parasitic Diseases

National Center for Infectious Diseases

### Summary

*This report is a revision of General Recommendations on Immunization and updates the 1994 statement by the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) (CDC. General recommendations on immunization: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices [ACIP]. MMWR 1994;43[No. RR-1]:1-38). The principal changes include expansion of the discussion of vaccination spacing and timing, recommendations for vaccinations administered by an incorrect route, information regarding needle-free injection technology, vaccination of children adopted from countries outside the United States, timing of live-virus vaccination and tuberculosis screening, expansion of the discussion and tables of contraindications and precautions regarding vaccinations, and addition of a directory of immunization resources. These recommendations are not comprehensive for each vaccine. The most recent ACIP recommendations for each specific vaccine should be consulted for additional details. This report, ACIP recommendations for each vaccine, and other information regarding immunization can be accessed at CDC's National Immunization Program website at <http://www.cdc.gov/nip> (accessed October 11, 2001).*

### Introduction

This report provides technical guidance regarding common immunization concerns for health-care providers who administer vaccines to children, adolescents, and adults. Vaccine recommendations are based on characteristics of the immunobiologic product, scientific knowledge regarding the principles of active and passive immunization, the epidemiology and burden of diseases (i.e., morbidity, mortality, costs of treatment, and loss of productivity), the safety of vaccines, and the cost analysis of preventive measures as judged by public health officials and specialists in clinical and preventive medicine.

Benefits and risks are associated with using all immunobiologics. No vaccine is completely safe or 100% effective. Benefits of vaccination include partial or complete protection against the consequences of infection for the vaccinated person, as well as overall benefits to society as a whole. Benefits include protection from symptomatic illness, im-

proved quality of life and productivity, and prevention of death. Societal benefits include creation and maintenance of herd immunity against communicable diseases, prevention of disease outbreaks, and reduction in health-care-related costs. Vaccination risks range from common, minor, and local adverse effects to rare, severe, and life-threatening conditions. Thus, recommendations for immunization practices balance scientific evidence of benefits for each person and to society against the potential costs and risks of vaccination programs.

Standards for child and adolescent immunization practices and standards for adult immunization practices (1,2) have been published to assist with implementing vaccination programs and maximizing their benefits. Any person or institution that provides vaccination services should adopt these standards to improve immunization delivery and protect children, adolescents, and adults from vaccine-preventable diseases.

To maximize the benefits of vaccination, this report provides general information regarding immunobiologics and provides practical guidelines concerning vaccine administration and technique. To minimize risk from vaccine administration, this report delineates situations that warrant precautions or contraindications to using a vaccine. These recommendations are intended for use in the United States be-

The material in this report was prepared for publication by the National Immunization Program, Walter A. Orenstein, M.D., Director; and the Immunization Services Division, Lance E. Rodewald, M.D., Director.

cause vaccine availability and use, as well as epidemiologic circumstances, differ in other countries. Individual circumstances might warrant deviations from these recommendations. The relative balance of benefits and risks can change as diseases are controlled or eradicated. For example, because wild poliovirus transmission has been interrupted in the United States since 1979, the only indigenous cases of paralytic poliomyelitis reported since that time have been caused by live oral poliovirus vaccine (OPV). In 1997, to reduce the risk for vaccine-associated paralytic polio (VAPP), increased use of inactivated poliovirus vaccine (IPV) was recommended in the United States (3). In 1999, to eliminate the risk for VAPP, exclusive use of IPV was recommended for routine vaccination in the United States (4), and OPV subsequently became unavailable for routine use. However, because of superior ability to induce intestinal immunity and to prevent spread among close contacts, OPV remains the vaccine of choice for areas where wild poliovirus is still present. Until worldwide eradication of poliovirus is accomplished, continued vaccination of the U.S. population against poliovirus will be necessary.

## Timing and Spacing of Immunobiologics

### General Principles for Vaccine Scheduling

Optimal response to a vaccine depends on multiple factors, including the nature of the vaccine and the age and immune status of the recipient. Recommendations for the age at which vaccines are administered are influenced by age-specific risks for disease, age-specific risks for complications, ability of persons of a certain age to respond to the vaccine, and potential interference with the immune response by passively transferred maternal antibody. Vaccines are recommended for members of the youngest age group at risk for experiencing the disease for whom efficacy and safety have been demonstrated.

Certain products, including inactivated vaccines, toxoids, recombinant subunit and polysaccharide conjugate vaccines, require administering  $\geq 2$  doses for development of an adequate and persisting antibody response. Tetanus and diphtheria toxoids require periodic reinforcement or booster doses to maintain protective antibody concentrations. Unconjugated polysaccharide vaccines do not induce T-cell memory, and booster doses are not expected to produce substantially increased protection. Conjugation with a protein carrier improves the effectiveness of polysaccharide vaccines by inducing T-cell-dependent immunologic function. Vaccines that stimulate both

cell-mediated immunity and neutralizing antibodies (e.g., live attenuated virus vaccines) usually can induce prolonged, often lifelong immunity, even if antibody titers decline as time progresses (5). Subsequent exposure to infection usually does not lead to viremia but to a rapid anamnestic antibody response.

Approximately 90%–95% of recipients of a single dose of a parenterally administered live vaccine at the recommended age (i.e., measles, mumps, rubella [MMR], varicella, and yellow fever), develop protective antibody within 2 weeks of the dose. However, because a limited proportion of recipients ( $\leq 5\%$ ) of MMR vaccine fail to respond to one dose, a second dose is recommended to provide another opportunity to develop immunity (6). The majority of persons who fail to respond to the first dose of MMR respond to a second dose (7). Similarly, approximately 20% of persons aged  $\geq 13$  years fail to respond to the first dose of varicella vaccine; 99% of recipients seroconvert after two doses (8).

The recommended childhood vaccination schedule is revised annually and is published each January. Recommendations for vaccination of adolescents and adults are revised less frequently, except for influenza vaccine recommendations, which are published annually. Physicians and other health-care providers should always ensure that they are following the most up-to-date schedules, which are available from CDC's National Immunization Program website at <http://www.cdc.gov/nip> (accessed October 11, 2001).

### Spacing of Multiple Doses of the Same Antigen

Vaccination providers are encouraged to adhere as closely as possible to the recommended childhood immunization schedule. Clinical studies have reported that recommended ages and intervals between doses of multidose antigens provide optimal protection or have the best evidence of efficacy. Recommended vaccines and recommended intervals between doses are provided in this report (Table 1).

In certain circumstances, administering doses of a multidose vaccine at shorter than the recommended intervals might be necessary. This can occur when a person is behind schedule and needs to be brought up-to-date as quickly as possible or when international travel is impending. In these situations, an accelerated schedule can be used that uses intervals between doses shorter than those recommended for routine vaccination. Although the effectiveness of all accelerated schedules has not been evaluated in clinical trials, the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) believes that the immune response when accelerated intervals are used is acceptable and will lead to adequate protection. The accelerated, or minimum, inter-

TABLE 1. Recommended and minimum ages and intervals between vaccine doses\*

Vaccine and dose number	Recommended age for this dose	Minimum age for this dose	Recommended interval to next dose	Minimum interval to next dose
Hepatitis B1†	Birth–2 mos	Birth	1–4 mos	4 wks
Hepatitis B2	1–4 mos	4 weeks	2–17 mos	8 wks
Hepatitis B3§	6–18 mos	6 mos¶	—	—
Diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and acellular pertussis (DTaP)1	2 mos	6 wks	2 mos	4 wks
DTaP2	4 mos	10 wks	2 mos	4 wks
DTaP3	6 mos	14 wks	6–12 mos	6 mos***
DTaP4	15–18 mos	12 mos	3 yrs	6 mos¶
DTaP5	4–6 yrs	4 yrs	—	—
<i>Haemophilus influenzae</i> , type b (Hib)1††	2 mos	6 wks	2 mos	4 wks
Hib2	4 mos	10 wks	2 mos	4 wks
Hib3§§	6 mos	14 wks	6–9 mos	8 wks
Hib4	12–15 mos	12 mos	—	—
Inactivated poliovirus vaccine (IPV)1	2 mos	6 wks	2 mos	4 wks
IPV2	4 mos	10 wks	2–14 mos	4 wks
IPV3	6–18 mos	14 wks	3.5 yrs	4 wks
IPV4	4–6 yrs	18 wks	—	—
Pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV)1††	2 mos	6 wks	2 mos	4 wks
PCV2	4 mos	10 wks	2 mos	4 wks
PCV3	6 mos	14 wks	6 mos	8 wks
PCV4	12–15 mos	12 mos	—	—
Measles, mumps, and rubella (MMR)1	12–15 mos¶¶	12 mos	3–5 yrs	4 wks
MMR2	4–6 yrs	13 mos	—	—
Varicella***	12–15 mos	12 mos	4 wks***	4 wks***
Hepatitis A1	≥2 yrs	2 yrs	6–18 mos¶	6 mos¶
Hepatitis A2	≥30 mos	30 mos	—	—
Influenza†††	—	6 mos¶	1 mo	4 wks
pneumococcal polysaccharide (PPV)1	—	2 yrs	5 yrs§§§	5 yrs
PPV2	—	7 yrs§§§	—	—

\* Combination vaccines are available. Using licensed combination vaccines is preferred over separate injections of their equivalent component vaccines (Source: CDC. Combination vaccines for childhood immunization: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP), the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP), and the American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP). MMWR 1999;48[No. RR-5]:5). When administering combination vaccines, the minimum age for administration is the oldest age for any of the individual components; the minimum interval between doses is equal to the greatest interval of any of the individual antigens.

† A combination hepatitis B-Hib vaccine is available (Comvax®, manufactured by Merck Vaccine Division). This vaccine should not be administered to infants aged <6 weeks because of the Hib component.

§ Hepatitis B3 should be administered ≥8 weeks after Hepatitis B2 and 16 weeks after Hepatitis B1, and it should not be administered before age 6 months.

¶ Calendar months.

\*\* The minimum interval between DTaP3 and DTaP4 is recommended to be ≥6 months. However, DTaP4 does not need to be repeated if administered ≥4 months after DTaP3.

†† For Hib and PCV, children receiving the first dose of vaccine at age ≥7 months require fewer doses to complete the series (see CDC. *Haemophilus b* conjugate vaccines for prevention of *Haemophilus influenzae*, type b disease among infants and children two months of age and older: recommendations of the ACIP. MMWR 1991;40[No. RR-1]:1–7, and CDC. Preventing pneumococcal disease among infants and young children: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices [ACIP]. MMWR 2000;49[No. RR-9]:1–35).

§§ For a regimen of only polyribosylribitol phosphate-meningococcal outer membrane protein (PRP-OMP, PedvaxHib®, manufactured by Merck), a dose administered at age 6 months is not required.

¶¶ During a measles outbreak, if cases are occurring among infants aged <12 months, measles vaccination of infants aged ≥6 months can be undertaken as an outbreak control measure. However, doses administered at age <12 months should not be counted as part of the series (Source: CDC. Measles, mumps, and rubella — vaccine use and strategies for elimination of measles, rubella, and congenital rubella syndrome and control of mumps: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices [ACIP]. MMWR 1998;47[No. RR-8]:1–57).

\*\*\* Children aged 12 months–13 years require only one dose of varicella vaccine. Persons aged ≥13 years should receive two doses separated by ≥4 weeks.

††† Two doses of inactivated influenza vaccine, separated by 4 weeks, are recommended for children aged 6 months–9 years who are receiving the vaccine for the first time. Children aged 6 months–9 years who have previously received influenza vaccine and persons aged ≥9 years require only one dose per influenza season.

§§§ Second doses of PPV are recommended for persons at highest risk for serious pneumococcal infection and those who are likely to have a rapid decline in pneumococcal antibody concentration. Revaccination 3 years after the previous dose can be considered for children at highest risk for severe pneumococcal infection who would be aged <10 years at the time of revaccination (see CDC. Prevention of pneumococcal disease: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices [ACIP]. MMWR 1997;46[No. RR-8]:1–24).

vals and ages that can be used for scheduling catch-up vaccinations is provided in this report (Table 1). Vaccine doses should not be administered at intervals less than these minimum intervals or earlier than the minimum age.\*

In clinical practice, vaccine doses occasionally are administered at intervals less than the minimum interval or at ages younger than the minimum age. Doses administered too close together or at too young an age can lead to a sub-optimal immune response. However, administering a dose a limited number of days earlier than the minimum interval or age is unlikely to have a substantially negative effect on the immune response to that dose. Therefore, ACIP recommends that vaccine doses administered  $\leq 4$  days before the minimum interval or age be counted as valid.<sup>†</sup> However, because of its unique schedule, this recommendation does not apply to rabies vaccine (9). Doses administered  $\geq 5$  days earlier than the minimum interval or age should not be counted as valid doses and should be repeated as age-appropriate. The repeat dose should be spaced after the invalid dose by the recommended minimum interval as provided in this report (Table 1). For example, if *Haemophilus influenzae* type b (Hib) doses one and two were administered only 2 weeks apart, dose two is invalid and should be repeated. The repeat dose should be administered  $\geq 4$  weeks after the invalid (second) dose. The repeat dose would be counted as the second valid dose. Doses administered  $\geq 5$  days before the minimum age should be repeated on or after the child reaches the minimum age and  $\geq 4$  weeks after the invalid dose. For example, if varicella vaccine were administered at age 10 months, the repeat dose would be administered no earlier than the child's first birthday.

Certain vaccines produce increased rates of local or systemic reactions in certain recipients when administered too frequently (e.g., adult tetanus-diphtheria toxoid [Td], pediatric diphtheria-tetanus toxoid [DT], and tetanus toxoid) (10,11). Such reactions are thought to result from the formation of antigen-antibody complexes. Optimal record keeping, maintaining patient histories, and adhering to recommended sched-

ules can decrease the incidence of such reactions without adversely affecting immunity.

## Simultaneous Administration

Experimental evidence and extensive clinical experience have strengthened the scientific basis for administering vaccines simultaneously (i.e., during the same office visit, not combined in the same syringe). Simultaneously administering all vaccines for which a person is eligible is critical, including for childhood vaccination programs, because simultaneous administration increases the probability that a child will be fully immunized at the appropriate age. A study conducted during a measles outbreak demonstrated that approximately one third of measles cases among unvaccinated but vaccine-eligible preschool children could have been prevented if MMR had been administered at the same visit when another vaccine was administered (12). Simultaneous administration also is critical when preparing for foreign travel and if uncertainty exists that a person will return for further doses of vaccine.

Simultaneously administering the most widely used live and inactivated vaccines have produced seroconversion rates and rates of adverse reactions similar to those observed when the vaccines are administered separately (13–16). Routinely administering all vaccines simultaneously is recommended for children who are the appropriate age to receive them and for whom no specific contraindications exist at the time of the visit. Administering combined MMR vaccine yields results similar to administering individual measles, mumps, and rubella vaccines at different sites. Therefore, no medical basis exists for administering these vaccines separately for routine vaccination instead of the preferred MMR combined vaccine (6). Administering separate antigens would result in a delay in protection for the deferred components. Response to MMR and varicella vaccines administered on the same day is identical to vaccines administered a month apart (17). No evidence exists that OPV interferes with parenterally administered live vaccines. OPV can be administered simultaneously or at any interval before or after parenteral live vaccines. No data exist regarding the immunogenicity of oral Ty21a typhoid vaccine when administered concurrently or within 30 days of live virus vaccines. In the absence of such data, if typhoid vaccination is warranted, it should not be delayed because of administration of virus vaccines (18).

Simultaneously administering pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine and inactivated influenza vaccine elicits a satisfactory antibody response without increasing the incidence or severity of adverse reactions (19). Simultaneously administering pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine and inactivated influenza

\* During measles outbreaks, if cases are occurring among infants aged <12 months, measles vaccination of infants as young as 6 months can be undertaken as an outbreak control measure. However, doses administered at ages <12 months should not be counted as part of the series (Source: CDC. Measles, mumps, and rubella — vaccine use and strategies for elimination of measles, rubella, and congenital rubella syndrome and control of mumps: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices [ACIP]. MMWR 1998;47[No. RR-8]:1–57).

<sup>†</sup> In certain situations, local or state requirements might mandate that doses of selected vaccines be administered on or after specific ages. For example, a school entry requirement might not accept a dose of MMR or varicella vaccine administered before the child's first birthday. ACIP recommends that physicians and other health-care providers comply with local or state vaccination requirements when scheduling and administering vaccines.

vaccine is strongly recommended for all persons for whom both vaccines are indicated.

Hepatitis B vaccine administered with yellow fever vaccine is as safe and immunogenic as when these vaccines are administered separately (20). Measles and yellow fever vaccines have been administered safely at the same visit and without reduction of immunogenicity of each of the components (21,22).

Depending on vaccines administered in the first year of life, children aged 12–15 months can receive  $\leq 7$  injections during a single visit (MMR, varicella, Hib, pneumococcal conjugate, diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and acellular pertussis [DTaP], IPV, and hepatitis B vaccines). To help reduce the number of injections at the 12–15-month visit, the IPV primary series can be completed before the child's first birthday. MMR and varicella vaccines should be administered at the same visit that occurs as soon as possible on or after the first birthday. The majority of children aged 1 year who have received two (polyribosylribitol phosphate-meningococcal outer membrane protein [PRP-OMP]) or three (PRP-tetanus [PRP-T], diphtheria CRM<sub>197</sub> [CRM, cross-reactive material] protein conjugate [HbOC]) prior doses of Hib vaccine, and three prior doses of DTaP and pneumococcal conjugate vaccine have developed protection (23,24). The third (PRP-OMP) or fourth (PRP-T, HbOC) dose of the Hib series, and the fourth doses of DTaP and pneumococcal conjugate vaccines are critical in boosting antibody titer and ensuring continued protection (24–26). However, the booster dose of the Hib or pneumococcal conjugate series can be deferred until ages 15–18 months for children who are likely to return for future visits. The fourth dose of DTaP is recommended to be administered at ages 15–18 months, but can be administered as early as age 12 months under certain circumstances (25). For infants at low risk for infection with hepatitis B virus (i.e., the mother tested negative for hepatitis B surface antigen [HBsAg] at the time of delivery and the child is not of Asian or Pacific Islander descent), the hepatitis B vaccine series can be completed at any time during ages 6–18 months. Recommended spacing of doses should be maintained (Table 1).

Use of combination vaccines can reduce the number of injections required at an office visit. Licensed combination vaccines can be used whenever any components of the combination are indicated and its other components are not contraindicated. Use of licensed combination vaccines is preferred over separate injection of their equivalent component vaccines (27). Only combination vaccines approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) should be used. Individual vaccines must never be mixed in the same syringe unless they are specifically approved for mixing by FDA. Only one vaccine (DTaP and PRP-T Hib vaccine,

marketed as TriHIBit® [manufactured by Aventis Pasteur]) is FDA-approved for mixing in the same syringe. This vaccine should not be used for primary vaccination in infants aged 2, 4, and 6 months, but it can be used as a booster after any Hib vaccine.

## Nonsimultaneous Administration

Inactivated vaccines do not interfere with the immune response to other inactivated vaccines or to live vaccines. An inactivated vaccine can be administered either simultaneously or at any time before or after a different inactivated vaccine or live vaccine (Table 2).

**TABLE 2. Guidelines for spacing of live and inactivated antigens**

Antigen combination	Recommended minimum interval between doses
$\geq 2$ inactivated	None; can be administered simultaneously or at any interval between doses
Inactivated and live	None; can be administered simultaneously or at any interval between doses
$\geq 2$ live parenteral*	4-week minimum interval, if not administered simultaneously

\* Live oral vaccines (e.g., Ty21a typhoid vaccine, oral polio vaccine) can be administered simultaneously or at any interval before or after inactivated or live parenteral vaccines.

The immune response to one live-virus vaccine might be impaired if administered within 30 days of another live-virus vaccine (28,29). Data are limited concerning interference between live vaccines. In a study conducted in two U.S. health maintenance organizations, persons who received varicella vaccine <30 days after MMR vaccination had an increased risk for varicella vaccine failure (i.e., varicella disease in a vaccinated person) of 2.5-fold compared with those who received varicella vaccine before or  $\geq 30$  days after MMR (30). In contrast, a 1999 study determined that the response to yellow fever vaccine is not affected by monovalent measles vaccine administered 1–27 days earlier (21). The effect of nonsimultaneously administering rubella, mumps, varicella, and yellow fever vaccines is unknown.

To minimize the potential risk for interference, parenterally administered live vaccines not administered on the same day should be administered  $\geq 4$  weeks apart whenever possible (Table 2). If parenterally administered live vaccines are separated by <4 weeks, the vaccine administered second should not be counted as a valid dose and should be repeated. The repeat dose should be administered  $\geq 4$  weeks after the last, invalid dose. Yellow fever vaccine can be administered at any time after single-antigen measles vaccine. Ty21a typhoid vaccine and parenteral live vaccines (i.e.,

MMR, varicella, yellow fever) can be administered simultaneously or at any interval before or after each other, if indicated.

## Spacing of Antibody-Containing Products and Vaccines

### Live Vaccines

Ty21a typhoid and yellow fever vaccines can be administered at any time before, concurrent with, or after administering any immune globulin or hyperimmune globulin (e.g., hepatitis B immune globulin and rabies immune globulin). Blood (e.g., whole blood, packed red blood cells, and plasma) and other antibody-containing blood products (e.g., immune globulin, hyperimmune globulin, and intravenous immune globulin [IGIV]) can inhibit the immune response to measles and rubella vaccines for  $\geq 3$  months (31,32). The effect of blood and immune globulin preparations on the response to mumps and varicella vaccines is unknown, but commercial immune globulin preparations contain antibodies to these viruses. Blood products available in the United States are unlikely to contain a substantial amount of antibody to yellow fever vaccine virus. The length of time that interference with parenteral live vaccination (except yellow fever vaccine) can persist after the antibody-containing product is a function of the amount of antigen-specific antibody contained in the product (31–33). Therefore, after an antibody-containing product is received, parenteral live vaccines (except yellow fever vaccine)

should be delayed until the passive antibody has degraded (Table 3). Recommended intervals between receipt of various blood products and measles-containing vaccine and varicella vaccine are listed in this report (Table 4). If a dose of parenteral live-virus vaccine (except yellow fever vaccine) is administered after an antibody-containing product but at an interval shorter than recommended in this report, the vaccine dose should be repeated unless serologic testing indicates a response to the vaccine. The repeat dose or serologic testing should be performed after the interval indicated for the antibody-containing product (Table 4).

Although passively acquired antibodies can interfere with the response to rubella vaccine, the low dose of anti-Rho(D) globulin administered to postpartum women has not been demonstrated to reduce the response to the RA27/3 strain rubella vaccine (34). Because of the importance of rubella immunity among childbearing-age women (6,35), the postpartum vaccination of rubella-susceptible women with rubella or MMR vaccine should not be delayed because of receipt of anti-Rho(D) globulin or any other blood product during the last trimester of pregnancy or at delivery. These women should be vaccinated immediately after delivery and, if possible, tested  $\geq 3$  months later to ensure immunity to rubella and, if necessary, to measles (6).

Interference can occur if administering an antibody-containing product becomes necessary after administering MMR, its individual components, or varicella vaccine. Usually, vaccine virus replication and stimulation of immunity will occur 1–2 weeks after vaccination. Thus, if the interval be-

**TABLE 3. Guidelines for administering antibody-containing products\* and vaccines**

Simultaneous administration		
Combination	Recommended minimum interval between doses	
Antibody-containing products and inactivated antigen	None; can be administered simultaneously at different sites or at any time between doses	
Antibody-containing products and live antigen	Should not be administered simultaneously. <sup>†</sup> If simultaneous administration of measles-containing vaccine or varicella vaccine is unavoidable, administer at different sites and revaccinate or test for seroconversion after the recommended interval (see Table 4)	
Nonsimultaneous administration		
Product administered		
First	Second	Recommended minimum interval between doses
Antibody-containing products	Inactivated antigen	None
Inactivated antigen	Antibody-containing products	None
Antibody-containing products	Live antigen	Dose-related <sup>§</sup>
Live antigen	Antibody-containing products	2 weeks

\* Blood products containing substantial amounts of immunoglobulin, including intramuscular and intravenous immune globulin, specific hyperimmune globulin (e.g., hepatitis B immune globulin, tetanus immune globulin, varicella zoster immune globulin, and rabies immune globulin), whole blood, packed red cells, plasma, and platelet products.

<sup>†</sup> Yellow fever and oral Ty21a typhoid vaccines are exceptions to these recommendations. These live attenuated vaccines can be administered at any time before, after, or simultaneously with an antibody-containing product without substantially decreasing the antibody response.

<sup>§</sup> The duration of interference of antibody-containing products with the immune response to the measles component of measles-containing vaccine, and possibly varicella vaccine, is dose-related (see Table 4).

**TABLE 4. Suggested intervals between administration of antibody-containing products for different indications and measles-containing vaccine and varicella vaccine\***

Product/Indication	Dose, including mg immunoglobulin G (IgG)/kg body weight*	Recommended interval before measles or varicella vaccination (months)
Respiratory syncytial virus immune globulin (IG) monoclonal antibody (Synagis™)†	15 mg/kg intramuscularly (IM)	None
Tetanus IG	250 units (10 mg IgG/kg) IM	3
Hepatitis A IG		
Contact prophylaxis	0.02 mL/kg (3.3 mg IgG/kg) IM	3
International travel	0.06 mL/kg (10 mg IgG/kg) IM	3
Hepatitis B IG	0.06 mL/kg (10 mg IgG/kg) IM	3
Rabies IG	20 IU/kg (22 mg IgG/kg) IM	4
Varicella IG	125 units/10 kg (20–40 mg IgG/kg) IM, maximum 625 units	5
Measles prophylaxis IG		
Standard (i.e., nonimmuno-compromised) contact	0.25 mL/kg (40 mg IgG/kg) IM	5
Immunocompromised contact	0.50 mL/kg (80 mg IgG/kg) IM	6
Blood transfusion		
Red blood cells (RBCs), washed	10 mL/kg negligible IgG/kg intravenously (IV)	None
RBCs, adenine-saline added	10 mL/kg (10 mg IgG/kg) IV	3
Packed RBCs (hematocrit 65%)§	10 mL/kg (60 mg IgG/kg) IV	6
Whole blood (hematocrit 35%–50%)§	10 mL/kg (80–100 mg IgG/kg) IV	6
Plasma/platelet products	10 mL/kg (160 mg IgG/kg) IV	7
Cytomegalovirus intravenous immune globulin (IGIV)	150 mg/kg maximum	6
Respiratory syncytial virus prophylaxis IGIV	750 mg/kg	9
IGIV		
Replacement therapy for immune deficiencies¶	300–400 mg/kg IV¶	8
Immune thrombocytopenic purpura	400 mg/kg IV	8
Immune thrombocytopenic purpura	1,000 mg/kg IV	10
Kawasaki disease	2 grams/kg IV	11

\* This table is not intended for determining the correct indications and dosages for using antibody-containing products. Unvaccinated persons might not be fully protected against measles during the entire recommended interval, and additional doses of immune globulin or measles vaccine might be indicated after measles exposure. Concentrations of measles antibody in an immune globulin preparation can vary by manufacturer's lot. Rates of antibody clearance after receipt of an immune globulin preparation might vary also. Recommended intervals are extrapolated from an estimated half-life of 30 days for passively acquired antibody and an observed interference with the immune response to measles vaccine for 5 months after a dose of 80 mg IgG/kg (Source: Mason W, Takahashi M, Schneider T. Persisting passively acquired measles antibody following gamma globulin therapy for Kawasaki disease and response to live virus vaccination [Abstract 311]. Presented at the 32<sup>nd</sup> meeting of the Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, Los Angeles, California, October 1992).

† Contains antibody only to respiratory syncytial virus.

§ Assumes a serum IgG concentration of 16 mg/mL.

¶ Measles and varicella vaccination is recommended for children with asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection but is contraindicated for persons with severe immunosuppression from HIV or any other immunosuppressive disorder.

tween administering any of these vaccines and subsequent administration of an antibody-containing product is <14 days, vaccination should be repeated after the recommended interval (Tables 3,4), unless serologic testing indicates that antibodies were produced.

A humanized mouse monoclonal antibody product (palivizumab) is available for prevention of respiratory syncytial virus infection among infants and young children. This product contains only antibody to respiratory syncytial virus; hence, it will not interfere with immune response to live or inactivated vaccines.

### Inactivated Vaccines

Antibody-containing products interact less with inactivated vaccines, toxoids, recombinant subunit, and polysaccharide vaccines than with live vaccines (36). Therefore, administering inactivated vaccines and toxoids either simultaneously with or at any interval before or after receipt of an antibody-containing product should not substantially impair development of a protective antibody response (Table 3). The vaccine or toxoid and antibody preparation should be administered at different sites by using the standard rec-

ommended dose. Increasing the vaccine dose volume or number of vaccinations is not indicated or recommended.

### Interchangeability of Vaccines from Different Manufacturers

Numerous vaccines are available from different manufacturers, and these vaccines usually are not identical in antigen content or amount or method of formulation. Manufacturers use different production processes, and their products might contain different concentrations of antigen per dose or different stabilizers or preservatives.

Available data indicate that infants who receive sequential doses of different Hib conjugate, hepatitis B, and hepatitis A vaccines produce a satisfactory antibody response after a complete primary series (37–40). All brands of Hib conjugate, hepatitis B,<sup>5</sup> and hepatitis A vaccines are interchangeable within their respective series. If different brands of Hib conjugate vaccine are administered, a total of three doses is considered adequate for the primary series among infants. After completing the primary series, any Hib conjugate vaccine can be used for the booster dose at ages 12–18 months.

Data are limited regarding the safety, immunogenicity, and efficacy of using acellular pertussis (as DTaP) vaccines from different manufacturers for successive doses of the pertussis series. Available data from one study indicate that, for the first three doses of the DTaP series, one or two doses of Tripedia<sup>®</sup> (manufactured by Aventis Pasteur) followed by Infanrix<sup>®</sup> (manufactured by GlaxoSmithKline) for the remaining dose(s) is comparable to three doses of Tripedia with regard to immunogenicity, as measured by antibodies to diphtheria, tetanus, and pertussis toxoid, and filamentous hemagglutinin (41). However, in the absence of a clear serologic correlate of protection for pertussis, the relevance of these immunogenicity data for protection against pertussis is unknown. Whenever feasible, the same brand of DTaP vaccine should be used for all doses of the vaccination series; however, vaccination providers might not know or have available the type of DTaP vaccine previously administered to a child. In this situation, any DTaP vaccine should be used to continue or complete the series. Vaccination should not be deferred because the brand used for previous doses is not available or is unknown (25,42).

### Lapsed Vaccination Schedule

Vaccination providers are encouraged to administer vaccines as close to the recommended intervals as possible.

<sup>5</sup> The exception is the two-dose hepatitis B vaccination series for adolescents aged 11–15 years. Only Recombivax HB<sup>®</sup> (Merck Vaccine Division) should be used in this schedule. Engerix-B<sup>®</sup> is not approved by FDA for this schedule.

However, longer-than-recommended intervals between doses do not reduce final antibody concentrations, although protection might not be attained until the recommended number of doses has been administered. An interruption in the vaccination schedule does not require restarting the entire series of a vaccine or toxoid or the addition of extra doses.

### Unknown or Uncertain Vaccination Status

Vaccination providers frequently encounter persons who do not have adequate documentation of vaccinations. Providers should only accept written, dated records as evidence of vaccination. With the exception of pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine (43), self-reported doses of vaccine without written documentation should not be accepted. Although vaccinations should not be postponed if records cannot be found, an attempt to locate missing records should be made by contacting previous health-care providers and searching for a personally held record. If records cannot be located, these persons should be considered susceptible and should be started on the age-appropriate vaccination schedule. Serologic testing for immunity is an alternative to vaccination for certain antigens (e.g., measles, mumps, rubella, varicella, tetanus, diphtheria, hepatitis A, hepatitis B, and poliovirus) (see Vaccination of Internationally Adopted Children).

### Contraindications and Precautions

Contraindications and precautions to vaccination dictate circumstances when vaccines will not be administered. The majority of contraindications and precautions are temporary, and the vaccination can be administered later. A contraindication is a condition in a recipient that increases the risk for a serious adverse reaction. A vaccine will not be administered when a contraindication is present. For example, administering influenza vaccine to a person with an anaphylactic allergy to egg protein could cause serious illness in or death of the recipient.

National standards for pediatric immunization practices have been established and include true contraindications and precautions to vaccination (Table 5) (1). The only true contraindication applicable to all vaccines is a history of a severe allergic reaction after a prior dose of vaccine or to a vaccine constituent (unless the recipient has been desensitized). Severely immunocompromised persons should not receive live vaccines. Children who experience an encephalopathy  $\leq 7$  days after administration of a previous dose of diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and whole-cell pertussis vac-

TABLE 5. Guide to contraindications and precautions\* to commonly used vaccines

Vaccine	True contraindications and precautions*	Untrue (vaccines can be administered)
General for all vaccines, including diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and acellular pertussis vaccine (DTaP); pediatric diphtheria-tetanus toxoid (DT); adult tetanus-diphtheria toxoid (Td); inactivated poliovirus vaccine (IPV); measles-mumps-rubella vaccine (MMR); <i>Haemophilus influenzae</i> type b vaccine (Hib); hepatitis A vaccine; hepatitis B vaccine; varicella vaccine; pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV); influenza vaccine; and pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine (PPV)	<p><b>Contraindications</b>            Serious allergic reaction (e.g., anaphylaxis) after a previous vaccine dose</p> <p>Serious allergic reaction (e.g., anaphylaxis) to a vaccine component</p> <p><b>Precautions</b>            Moderate or severe acute illness with or without fever</p>	<p>Mild acute illness with or without fever</p> <p>Mild to moderate local reaction (i.e., swelling, redness, soreness); low-grade or moderate fever after previous dose</p> <p>Lack of previous physical examination in well-appearing person</p> <p>Current antimicrobial therapy</p> <p>Convalescent phase of illness</p> <p>Premature birth (hepatitis B vaccine is an exception in certain circumstances)<sup>†</sup></p> <p>Recent exposure to an infectious disease</p> <p>History of penicillin allergy, other nonvaccine allergies, relatives with allergies, receiving allergen extract immunotherapy</p>
DTaP	<p><b>Contraindications</b>            Severe allergic reaction after a previous dose or to a vaccine component</p> <p>Encephalopathy (e.g., coma, decreased level of consciousness; prolonged seizures) within 7 days of administration of previous dose of DTP or DTaP</p> <p>Progressive neurologic disorder, including infantile spasms, uncontrolled epilepsy, progressive encephalopathy: defer DTaP until neurologic status clarified and stabilized.</p> <p><b>Precautions</b>            Fever of <math>&gt;40.5^{\circ}\text{C}</math> <math>\leq 48</math> hours after vaccination with a previous dose of DTP or DTaP</p> <p>Collapse or shock-like state (i.e., hypotonic hyporesponsive episode) <math>\leq 48</math> hours after receiving a previous dose of DTP/DTaP</p> <p>Seizure <math>\leq 3</math> days of receiving a previous dose of DTP/DTaP<sup>§</sup></p> <p>Persistent, inconsolable crying lasting <math>\geq 3</math> hours <math>\leq 48</math> hours after receiving a previous dose of DTP/DTaP</p> <p>Moderate or severe acute illness with or without fever</p>	<p>Temperature of <math>&lt;40.5^{\circ}\text{C}</math>, fussiness or mild drowsiness after a previous dose of diphtheria toxoid-tetanus toxoid-pertussis vaccine (DTP)/DTaP</p> <p>Family history of seizures<sup>§</sup></p> <p>Family history of sudden infant death syndrome</p> <p>Family history of an adverse event after DTP or DTaP administration</p> <p>Stable neurologic conditions (e.g., cerebral palsy, well-controlled convulsions, developmental delay)</p>
DT, Td	<p><b>Contraindications</b>            Severe allergic reaction after a previous dose or to a vaccine component</p> <p><b>Precautions</b>            Guillain-Barré syndrome <math>\leq 6</math> weeks after previous dose of tetanus toxoid-containing vaccine</p> <p>Moderate or severe acute illness with or without fever</p>	
IPV	<p><b>Contraindications</b>            Severe allergic reaction to previous dose or vaccine component</p> <p><b>Precautions</b>            Pregnancy</p> <p>Moderate or severe acute illness with or without fever</p>	
MMR <sup>†</sup>	<p><b>Contraindications</b>            Severe allergic reaction after a previous dose or to a vaccine component</p> <p>Pregnancy</p> <p>Known severe immunodeficiency (e.g., hematologic and solid tumors; congenital immunodeficiency; long-term immunosuppressive therapy,** or severely symptomatic human immunodeficiency virus [HIV] infection)</p> <p><b>Precautions</b>            Recent (<math>\leq 11</math> months) receipt of antibody-containing blood product (specific interval depends on product)<sup>§§</sup></p> <p>History of thrombocytopenia or thrombocytopenic purpura</p> <p>Moderate or severe acute illness with or without fever</p>	<p>Positive tuberculin skin test</p> <p>Simultaneous TB skin testing<sup>††</sup></p> <p>Breast-feeding</p> <p>Pregnancy of recipient's mother or other close or household contact</p> <p>Recipient is child-bearing-age female</p> <p>Immunodeficient family member or household contact</p> <p>Asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic HIV infection</p> <p>Allergy to eggs</p>

TABLE 5. (Continued) Guide to contraindications and precautions\* to commonly used vaccines

Vaccine	True contraindications and precautions*	Untrue (vaccines can be administered)
Hib	<b>Contraindications</b> Severe allergic reaction after a previous dose or to a vaccine component Age <6 weeks <b>Precaution</b> Moderate or severe acute illness with or without fever	—
Hepatitis B	<b>Contraindication</b> Severe allergic reaction after a previous dose or to a vaccine component <b>Precautions</b> Infant weighing <2,000 grams† Moderate or severe acute illness with or without fever	Pregnancy Autoimmune disease (e.g., systemic lupus erythematosus or rheumatoid arthritis)
Hepatitis A	<b>Contraindications</b> Severe allergic reaction after a previous dose or to a vaccine component <b>Precautions</b> Pregnancy Moderate or severe acute illness with or without fever	—
Varicella <sup>‡</sup>	<b>Contraindications</b> Severe allergic reaction after a previous dose or to a vaccine component Substantial suppression of cellular immunity Pregnancy <b>Precautions</b> Recent ( $\leq 11$ months) receipt of antibody-containing blood product (specific interval depends on product) <sup>§§</sup> Moderate or severe acute illness with or without fever	Pregnancy of recipient's mother or other close or household contact Immunodeficient family member or household contact <sup>¶¶</sup> Asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic HIV infection Humoral immunodeficiency (e.g., agammaglobulinemia)
PCV	<b>Contraindication</b> Severe allergic reaction after a previous dose or to a vaccine component <b>Precaution</b> Moderate or severe acute illness with or without fever	—
Influenza	<b>Contraindication</b> Severe allergic reaction to previous dose or vaccine component, including egg protein <b>Precautions</b> Moderate or severe acute illness with or without fever	Nonsevere (e.g., contact) allergy to latex or thimerosal Concurrent administration of coumadin or aminophylline
PPV	<b>Contraindication</b> Severe allergic reaction after a previous dose or to a vaccine component <b>Precaution</b> Moderate or severe acute illness with or without fever	—

\* Events or conditions listed as precautions should be reviewed carefully. Benefits and risks of administering a specific vaccine to a person under these circumstances should be considered. If the risk from the vaccine is believed to outweigh the benefit, the vaccine should not be administered. If the benefit of vaccination is believed to outweigh the risk, the vaccine should be administered. Whether and when to administer DTaP to children with proven or suspected underlying neurologic disorders should be decided on a case-by-case basis.

† Hepatitis B vaccination should be deferred for infants weighing <2,000 grams if the mother is documented to be hepatitis B surface antigen (HbsAg)-negative at the time of the infant's birth. Vaccination can commence at chronological age 1 month. For infants born to HbsAg-positive women, hepatitis B immunoglobulin and hepatitis B vaccine should be administered at or soon after birth regardless of weight. See text for details.

§ Acetaminophen or other appropriate antipyretic can be administered to children with a personal or family history of seizures at the time of DTaP vaccination and every 4–6 hours for 24 hours thereafter to reduce the possibility of postvaccination fever (Source: American Academy of Pediatrics. Active immunization. In: Pickering LK, ed. 2000 red book: report of the Committee on Infectious Diseases. 25th ed. Elk Grove Village, IL: American Academy of Pediatrics, 2000).

¶ MMR and varicella vaccines can be administered on the same day. If not administered on the same day, these vaccines should be separated by  $\geq 28$  days.

\*\* Substantially immunosuppressive steroid dose is considered to be  $\geq 2$  weeks of daily receipt of 20 mg or 2 mg/kg body weight of prednisone or equivalent.

†† Measles vaccination can suppress tuberculin reactivity temporarily. Measles-containing vaccine can be administered on the same day as tuberculin skin testing. If testing cannot be performed until after the day of MMR vaccination, the test should be postponed for  $\geq 4$  weeks after the vaccination. If an urgent need exists to skin test, do so with the understanding that reactivity might be reduced by the vaccine.

§§ See text for details.

¶¶ If a vaccinee experiences a presumed vaccine-related rash 7–25 days after vaccination, avoid direct contact with immunocompromised persons for the duration of the rash.

cine (DTP) or DTaP not attributable to another identifiable cause should not receive further doses of a vaccine that contains pertussis. Because of the theoretical risk to the fetus, women known to be pregnant should not receive live attenuated virus vaccines (see Vaccination During Pregnancy).

A precaution is a condition in a recipient that might increase the risk for a serious adverse reaction or that might compromise the ability of the vaccine to produce immunity (e.g., administering measles vaccine to a person with passive immunity to measles from a blood transfusion). Injury could result, or a person might experience a more se-

vere reaction to the vaccine than would have otherwise been expected; however, the risk for this happening is less than expected with a contraindication. Under normal circumstances, vaccinations should be deferred when a precaution is present. However, a vaccination might be indicated in the presence of a precaution because the benefit of protection from the vaccine outweighs the risk for an adverse reaction. For example, caution should be exercised in vaccinating a child with DTaP who, within 48 hours of receipt of a prior dose of DTP or DTaP, experienced fever  $\geq 40.5^{\circ}\text{C}$  ( $105^{\circ}\text{F}$ ); had persistent, inconsolable crying for  $\geq 3$  hours; collapsed or experienced a shock-like state; or had a seizure  $\leq 3$  days after receiving the previous dose of DTP or DTaP. However, administering a pertussis-containing vaccine should be considered if the risk for pertussis is increased (e.g., during a pertussis outbreak) (25). The presence of a moderate or severe acute illness with or without a fever is a precaution to administration of all vaccines. Other precautions are listed in this report (Table 5).

Physicians and other health-care providers might inappropriately consider certain conditions or circumstances to be true contraindications or precautions to vaccination. This misconception results in missed opportunities to administer recommended vaccines (44). Likewise, physicians and other health-care providers might fail to understand what constitutes a true contraindication or precaution and might administer a vaccine when it should be withheld. This practice can result in an increased risk for an adverse reaction to the vaccine. Conditions often inappropriately regarded as contraindications to vaccination are listed in this report (Table 5). Among the most common are diarrhea and minor upper-respiratory tract illnesses (including otitis media) with or without fever, mild to moderate local reactions to a previous dose of vaccine, current antimicrobial therapy, and the convalescent phase of an acute illness.

The decision to administer or delay vaccination because of a current or recent acute illness depends on the severity of symptoms and the etiology of the disease. All vaccines can be administered to persons with minor acute illness (e.g., diarrhea or mild upper-respiratory tract infection with or without fever). Studies indicate that failure to vaccinate children with minor illnesses can seriously impede vaccination efforts (45–47). Among persons whose compliance with medical care cannot be ensured, use of every opportunity to provide appropriate vaccinations is critical.

The majority of studies support the safety and efficacy of vaccinating persons who have mild illness (48–50). For example, in the United States,  $>97\%$  of children with mild illnesses produced measles antibody after vaccination (51). Only one limited study has reported a lower rate of

seroconversion (79%) to the measles component of MMR vaccine among children with minor, afebrile upper-respiratory tract infections (52). Therefore, vaccination should not be delayed because of the presence of mild respiratory tract illness or other acute illness with or without fever.

Persons with moderate or severe acute illness should be vaccinated as soon as they have recovered from the acute phase of the illness. This precaution avoids superimposing adverse effects of the vaccine on the underlying illness or mistakenly attributing a manifestation of the underlying illness to the vaccine.

Routine physical examinations and measuring temperatures are not prerequisites for vaccinating infants and children who appear to be healthy. Asking the parent or guardian if the child is ill and then postponing vaccination for those with moderate to severe illness, or proceeding with vaccination if no contraindications exist, are appropriate procedures in childhood immunization programs.

A family history of seizures or other central nervous system disorders is not a contraindication to administration of pertussis or other vaccines. However, delaying pertussis vaccination for infants and children with a history of previous seizures until the child's neurologic status has been assessed is prudent. Pertussis vaccine should not be administered to infants with evolving neurologic conditions until a treatment regimen has been established and the condition has stabilized (25).

## Vaccine Administration

### Infection Control and Sterile Technique

Persons administering vaccines should follow necessary precautions to minimize risk for spreading disease. Hands should be washed with soap and water or cleansed with an alcohol-based waterless antiseptic hand rub between each patient contact. Gloves are not required when administering vaccinations, unless persons administering vaccinations are likely to come into contact with potentially infectious body fluids or have open lesions on their hands. Syringes and needles used for injections must be sterile and disposable to minimize the risk of contamination. A separate needle and syringe should be used for each injection. Changing needles between drawing vaccine from a vial and injecting it into a recipient is unnecessary. Different vaccines should never be mixed in the same syringe unless specifically licensed for such use.

Disposable needles and syringes should be discarded in labeled, puncture-proof containers to prevent inadvertent needle-stick injury or reuse. Safety needles or needle-free injection devices also can reduce the risk for injury and

should be used whenever available (see Occupational Safety Regulations).

## Recommended Routes of Injection and Needle Length

Routes of administration are recommended by the manufacturer for each immunobiologic. Deviation from the recommended route of administration might reduce vaccine efficacy (53,54) or increase local adverse reactions (55-57). Injectable immunobiologics should be administered where the likelihood of local, neural, vascular, or tissue injury is limited. Vaccines containing adjuvants should be injected into the muscle mass; when administered subcutaneously or intradermally, they can cause local irritation, induration, skin discoloration, inflammation, and granuloma formation.

### Subcutaneous Injections

Subcutaneous injections usually are administered at a 45-degree angle into the thigh of infants aged <12 months and in the upper-outer triceps area of persons aged  $\geq$ 12 months. Subcutaneous injections can be administered into the upper-outer triceps area of an infant, if necessary. A 5/8-inch, 23-25-gauge needle should be inserted into the subcutaneous tissue.

### Intramuscular Injections

Intramuscular injections are administered at a 90-degree angle into the anterolateral aspect of the thigh or the deltoid muscle of the upper arm. The buttock should not be used for administration of vaccines or toxoids because of the potential risk of injury to the sciatic nerve (58). In addition, injection into the buttock has been associated with decreased immunogenicity of hepatitis B and rabies vaccines in adults, presumably because of inadvertent subcutaneous injection or injection into deep fat tissue (53,59).

For all intramuscular injections, the needle should be long enough to reach the muscle mass and prevent vaccine from seeping into subcutaneous tissue, but not so long as to involve underlying nerves and blood vessels or bone (54,60-62). Vaccinators should be familiar with the anatomy of the area into which they are injecting vaccine. An individual decision on needle size and site of injection must be made for each person on the basis of age, the volume of the material to be administered, the size of the muscle, and the depth below the muscle surface into which the material is to be injected.

Although certain vaccination specialists advocate aspiration (i.e., the syringe plunger pulled back before injection), no data exist to document the necessity for this procedure.

If aspiration results in blood in the needle hub, the needle should be withdrawn and a new site should be selected.

**Infants (persons aged <12 months).** Among the majority of infants, the anterolateral aspect of the thigh provides the largest muscle mass and is therefore the recommended site for injection. For the majority of infants, a 7/8-1-inch, 22-25-gauge needle is sufficient to penetrate muscle in the infant's thigh.

**Toddlers and Older Children (persons aged  $\geq$ 12 months-18 years).** The deltoid muscle can be used if the muscle mass is adequate. The needle size can range from 22 to 25 gauge and from 7/8 to 1 1/4 inches, on the basis of the size of the muscle. For toddlers, the anterolateral thigh can be used, but the needle should be longer, usually 1 inch.

**Adults (persons aged >18 years).** For adults, the deltoid muscle is recommended for routine intramuscular vaccinations. The anterolateral thigh can be used. The suggested needle size is 1-1 1/2 inches and 22-25 gauge.

### Intradermal Injections

Intradermal injections are usually administered on the volar surface of the forearm. With the bevel facing upwards, a 3/8-3/4-inch, 25-27-gauge needle can be inserted into the epidermis at an angle parallel to the long axis of the forearm. The needle should be inserted so that the entire bevel penetrates the skin and the injected solution raises a small bleb. Because of the small amounts of antigen used in intradermal vaccinations, care must be taken not to inject the vaccine subcutaneously because it can result in a suboptimal immunologic response.

## Multiple Vaccinations

If  $\geq$ 2 vaccine preparations are administered or if vaccine and an immune globulin preparation are administered simultaneously, each preparation should be administered at a different anatomic site. If  $\geq$ 2 injections must be administered in a single limb, the thigh is usually the preferred site because of the greater muscle mass; the injections should be sufficiently separated (i.e.,  $\geq$ 1 inch) so that any local reactions can be differentiated (55,63). For older children and adults, the deltoid muscle can be used for multiple intramuscular injections, if necessary. The location of each injection should be documented in the person's medical record.

### Jet Injection

Jet injectors (JIs) are needle-free devices that drive liquid medication through a nozzle orifice, creating a narrow stream under high pressure that penetrates skin to deliver a drug or vaccine into intradermal, subcutaneous, or intramuscular tis-

sues (64,65). Increasing attention to JI technology as an alternative to conventional needle injection has resulted from recent efforts to reduce the frequency of needle-stick injuries to health-care workers (66) and to overcome the improper reuse and other drawbacks of needles and syringes in economically developing countries (67-69). JIs have been reported safe and effective in administering different live and inactivated vaccines for viral and bacterial diseases (69). The immune responses generated are usually equivalent to, and occasionally greater than, those induced by needle injection. However, local reactions or injury (e.g., redness, induration, pain, blood, and ecchymosis at the injection site) can be more frequent for vaccines delivered by JIs compared with needle injection (65,69).

Certain JIs were developed for situations in which substantial numbers of persons must be vaccinated rapidly, but personnel or supplies are insufficient to do so with conventional needle injection. Such high-workload devices vaccinate consecutive patients from the same nozzle orifice, fluid pathway, and dose chamber, which is refilled automatically from attached vials containing  $\leq 50$  doses each. Since the 1950s, these devices have been used extensively among military recruits and for mass vaccination campaigns for disease control and eradication (64). An outbreak of hepatitis B among patients receiving injections from a multiple-use-nozzle JI was documented (70,71), and subsequent laboratory, field, and animal studies demonstrated that such devices could become contaminated with blood (69,72,73).

No U.S.-licensed, high-workload vaccination devices of unquestioned safety are available to vaccination programs. Efforts are under way for the research and development of new high-workload JIs using disposable-cartridge technology that avoids reuse of any unsterilized components having contact with the medication fluid pathway or patient's blood. Until such devices become licensed and available, the use of existing multiple-use-nozzle JIs should be limited. Use can be considered when the theoretical risk for bloodborne disease transmission is outweighed by the benefits of rapid vaccination with limited personnel in responding to serious disease threats (e.g., pandemic influenza or bioterrorism event), and by any competing risks of iatrogenic or occupational infections resulting from conventional needles and syringes. Before such emergency use of multiple-use-nozzle JIs, health-care workers should consult with local, state, national, or international health agencies or organizations that have experience in their use.

In the 1990s, a new generation of low-workload JIs were introduced with disposable cartridges serving as dose chambers and nozzle (69). With the provision of a new sterile

cartridge for each patient and other correct use, these devices avoid the safety concerns described previously for multiple-use-nozzle devices. They can be used in accordance with their labeling for intradermal, subcutaneous, or intramuscular administration.

### **Methods for Alleviating Discomfort and Pain Associated with Vaccination**

Comfort measures and distraction techniques (e.g., playing music or pretending to blow away the pain) might help children cope with the discomfort associated with vaccination. Pretreatment (30-60 minutes before injection) with 5% topical lidocaine-prilocaine emulsion (EMLA<sup>®</sup> cream or disk [manufactured by AstraZeneca LP]) can decrease the pain of vaccination among infants by causing superficial anesthesia (74,75). Preliminary evidence indicates that this cream does not interfere with the immune response to MMR (76). Topical lidocaine-prilocaine emulsion should not be used on infants aged <12 months who are receiving treatment with methemoglobin-inducing agents because of the possible development of methemoglobinemia (77). Acetaminophen has been used among children to reduce the discomfort and fever associated with vaccination (78). However, acetaminophen can cause formation of methemoglobin and, thus, might interact with lidocaine-prilocaine cream, if used concurrently (77). Ibuprofen or other nonaspirin analgesic can be used, if necessary. Use of a topical refrigerant (vapocoolant) spray can reduce the short-term pain associated with injections and can be as effective as lidocaine-prilocaine cream (79). Administering sweet-tasting fluid orally immediately before injection can result in a calming or analgesic effect among certain infants.

### **Nonstandard Vaccination Practices**

Recommendations regarding route, site, and dosage of immunobiologics are derived from data from clinical trials, from practical experience, and from theoretical considerations. ACIP strongly discourages variations from the recommended route, site, volume, or number of doses of any vaccine.

Variation from the recommended route and site can result in inadequate protection. The immunogenicity of hepatitis B vaccine and rabies vaccine is substantially lower when the gluteal rather than the deltoid site is used for administration (53,59). Hepatitis B vaccine administered intradermally can result in a lower seroconversion rate and final titer of hepatitis B surface antibody than when administered by the deltoid intramuscular route (80,81). Doses of rabies vaccine administered in the gluteal site should not

be counted as valid doses and should be repeated. Hepatitis B vaccine administered by any route or site other than intramuscularly in the anterolateral thigh or deltoid muscle should not be counted as valid and should be repeated, unless serologic testing indicates that an adequate response has been achieved.

Live attenuated parenteral vaccines (e.g., MMR, varicella, or yellow fever) and certain inactivated vaccines (e.g., IPV, pneumococcal polysaccharide, and anthrax) are recommended by the manufacturers to be administered by subcutaneous injection. Pneumococcal polysaccharide and IPV are approved for either intramuscular or subcutaneous administration. Response to these vaccines probably will not be affected if the vaccines are administered by the intramuscular rather than subcutaneous route. Repeating doses of vaccine administered by the intramuscular route rather than by the subcutaneous route is unnecessary.

Administering volumes smaller than those recommended (e.g., split doses) can result in inadequate protection. Using larger than the recommended dose can be hazardous because of excessive local or systemic concentrations of antigens or other vaccine constituents. Using multiple reduced doses that together equal a full immunizing dose or using smaller divided doses is not endorsed or recommended. Any vaccination using less than the standard dose should not be counted, and the person should be revaccinated according to age, unless serologic testing indicates that an adequate response has been achieved.

### Preventing Adverse Reactions

Vaccines are intended to produce active immunity to specific antigens. An adverse reaction is an untoward effect that occurs after a vaccination that is extraneous to the vaccine's primary purpose of producing immunity. Adverse reactions also are called *vaccine side effects*.

All vaccines might cause adverse reactions (82). Vaccine adverse reactions are classified by three general categories: local, systemic, and allergic. Local reactions are usually the least severe and most frequent. Systemic reactions (e.g., fever) occur less frequently than local reactions. Serious allergic reactions (e.g., anaphylaxis) are the most severe and least frequent. Severe adverse reactions are rare.

The key to preventing the majority of serious adverse reactions is screening. Every person who administers vaccines should screen patients for contraindications and precautions to the vaccine before it is administered (Table 5). Standardized screening questionnaires have been developed and are available from certain state immunization programs and

other sources (e.g., the Immunization Action Coalition at <http://www.immunize.org> [accessed October 31, 2001]).

Severe allergic reactions after vaccination are rare. However, all physicians and other health-care providers who administer vaccines should have procedures in place for the emergency management of a person who experiences an anaphylactic reaction. All vaccine providers should be familiar with the office emergency plan and be certified in cardiopulmonary resuscitation.

Syncope (vasovagal or vasodepressor reaction) can occur after vaccination, most commonly among adolescents and young adults. During 1990–August 2001, a total of 2,269 reports to the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting system were coded as syncope. Forty percent of these episodes were reported among persons aged 10–18 years (CDC, unpublished data, 2001). Approximately 12% of reported syncopal episodes resulted in hospitalization because of injury or medical evaluation. Serious injury, including skull fractures and cerebral bleeding, have been reported to result from syncopal episodes after vaccination. A published review of syncope after vaccination reported that 63% of syncopal episodes occurred  $\leq 5$  minutes after vaccination, and 89% occurred within 15 minutes after vaccination (83). Although syncopal episodes are uncommon and serious allergic reactions are rare, certain vaccination specialists recommend that persons be observed for 15–20 minutes after being vaccinated, if possible (84). If syncope develops, patients should be observed until the symptoms resolve.

### Managing Acute Vaccine Reactions

Although rare after vaccination, the immediate onset and life-threatening nature of an anaphylactic reaction require that personnel and facilities providing vaccinations be capable of providing initial care for suspected anaphylaxis. Epinephrine and equipment for maintaining an airway should be available for immediate use.

Anaphylaxis usually begins within minutes of vaccine administration. Rapidly recognizing and initiating treatment are required to prevent possible progression to cardiovascular collapse. If flushing, facial edema, urticaria, itching, swelling of the mouth or throat, wheezing, difficulty breathing, or other signs of anaphylaxis occur, the patient should be placed in a recumbent position with the legs elevated. Aqueous epinephrine (1:1000) should be administered and can be repeated within 10–20 minutes (84). A dose of diphenhydramine hydrochloride might shorten the reaction, but it will have little immediate effect. Maintenance of an airway and oxygen administration might be necessary. Arrangements should

be made for immediate transfer to an emergency facility for further evaluation and treatment.

## Occupational Safety Regulations

Bloodborne diseases (e.g., hepatitis B and C and human immunodeficiency virus [HIV]) are occupational hazards for health-care workers. In November 2000, to reduce the incidence of needle-stick injuries among health-care workers and the consequent risk for bloodborne diseases acquired from patients, the Needlestick Safety and Prevention Act was signed into law. The act directed the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) to strengthen its existing bloodborne pathogen standards. Those standards were revised and became effective in April 2001 (66). These federal regulations require that safer injection devices (e.g., needle-shielding syringes or needle-free injectors) be used for parenteral vaccination in all clinical settings when such devices are appropriate, commercially available, and capable of achieving the intended clinical purpose. The rules also require that records be kept documenting the incidence of injuries caused by medical sharps (except in workplaces with  $\leq 10$  employees) and that nonmanagerial employees be involved in the evaluation and selection of safer devices to be procured.

Needle-shielding or needle-free devices that might satisfy the occupational safety regulations for administering parenteral injections are available in the United States and are listed at multiple websites (69,85-87).<sup>3</sup> Additional information regarding implementation and enforcement of these regulations is available at the OSHA website at <http://www.osha-slc.gov/needlesticks> (accessed October 31, 2001).

## Storage and Handling of Immunobiologics

Failure to adhere to recommended specifications for storage and handling of immunobiologics can reduce potency, resulting in an inadequate immune response in the recipient. Recommendations included in a product's package insert, including reconstitution of the vaccine, should be followed carefully. Vaccine quality is the shared responsibility of all parties from the time the vaccine is manufactured until administration. All vaccines should be inspected upon delivery and monitored during storage to ensure that

the cold chain has been maintained. Vaccines should continue to be stored at recommended temperatures immediately upon receipt. Certain vaccines (e.g., MMR, varicella, and yellow fever) are sensitive to increased temperature. All other vaccines are sensitive to freezing. Mishandled vaccine usually is not distinguishable from potent vaccine. When in doubt regarding the appropriate handling of a vaccine, vaccination providers should contact the manufacturer. Vaccines that have been mishandled (e.g., inactivated vaccines and toxoids that have been exposed to freezing temperatures) or that are beyond their expiration date should not be administered. If mishandled or expired vaccines are administered inadvertently, they should not be counted as valid doses and should be repeated, unless serologic testing indicates a response to the vaccine.

Live attenuated virus vaccines should be administered promptly after reconstitution. Varicella vaccine must be administered  $\leq 30$  minutes after reconstitution. Yellow fever vaccine must be used  $\leq 1$  hour after reconstitution. MMR vaccine must be administered  $\leq 8$  hours after reconstitution. If not administered within these prescribed time periods after reconstitution, the vaccine must be discarded.

The majority of vaccines have a similar appearance after being drawn into a syringe. Instances in which the wrong vaccine inadvertently was administered are attributable to the practice of prefilling syringes or drawing doses of a vaccine into multiple syringes before their immediate need. ACIP discourages the routine practice of prefilling syringes because of the potential for such administration errors. To prevent errors, vaccine doses should not be drawn into a syringe until immediately before administration. In certain circumstances where a single vaccine type is being used (e.g., in advance of a community influenza vaccination campaign), filling multiple syringes before their immediate use can be considered. Care should be taken to ensure that the cold chain is maintained until the vaccine is administered. When the syringes are filled, the type of vaccine, lot number, and date of filling must be carefully labeled on each syringe, and the doses should be administered as soon as possible after filling.

Certain vaccines are distributed in multidose vials. When opened, the remaining doses from partially used multidose vials can be administered until the expiration date printed on the vial or vaccine packaging, provided that the vial has been stored correctly and that the vaccine is not visibly contaminated.

<sup>3</sup> Internet sites with device listings are identified for information purposes only. CDC, the U.S. Public Health Service, and the Department of Health and Human Services do not endorse any specific device or imply that the devices listed would all satisfy the needle-stick prevention regulations.

## Special Situations

### Concurrently Administering Antimicrobial Agents and Vaccines

With limited exceptions, using an antibiotic is not a contraindication to vaccination. Antimicrobial agents have no effect on the response to live attenuated vaccines, except live oral Ty21a typhoid vaccine, and have no effect on inactivated, recombinant subunit, or polysaccharide vaccines or toxoids. Ty21a typhoid vaccine should not be administered to persons receiving antimicrobial agents until  $\geq 24$  hours after any antibiotic dose (18).

Antiviral drugs used for treatment or prophylaxis of influenza virus infections have no effect on the response to inactivated influenza vaccine (88). Antiviral drugs active against herpesviruses (e.g., acyclovir or valacyclovir) might reduce the efficacy of live attenuated varicella vaccine. These drugs should be discontinued  $\geq 24$  hours before administration of varicella vaccine, if possible.

The antimalarial drug mefloquine (Lariam® [manufactured by Roche Laboratories, Inc.]) could affect the immune response to oral Ty21a typhoid vaccine if both are taken simultaneously (89,90). To minimize this effect, administering Ty21a typhoid vaccine  $\geq 24$  hours before or after a dose of mefloquine is prudent.

### Tuberculosis Screening and Skin Test Reactivity

Measles illness, severe acute or chronic infections, HIV infection, and malnutrition can create an anergic state during which the tuberculin skin test (usually known as *purified protein derivative* [PPD] skin test) might give a false negative reaction (91–93). Although any live attenuated measles vaccine can theoretically suppress PPD reactivity, the degree of suppression is probably less than that occurring from acute infection from wild measles virus. Although routine PPD screening of all children is no longer recommended, PPD screening is sometimes needed at the same time as administering a measles-containing vaccine (e.g., for well-child care, school entrance, or for employee health reasons), and the following options should be considered:

- PPD and measles-containing vaccine can be administered at the same visit (preferred option). Simultaneously administering PPD and measles-containing vaccine does not interfere with reading the PPD result at 48–72 hours and ensures that the person has received measles vaccine.
- If the measles-containing vaccine has been administered recently, PPD screening should be delayed  $\geq 4$  weeks after vaccination. A delay in performing PPD will re-

move the concern of any theoretical but transient suppression of PPD reactivity from the vaccine.

- PPD screening can be performed and read before administering the measles-containing vaccine. This option is the least favored because it will delay receipt of the measles-containing vaccine.

No data exist for the potential degree of PPD suppression that might be associated with other parenteral live attenuated virus vaccines (e.g., varicella or yellow fever). Nevertheless, in the absence of data, following guidelines for measles-containing vaccine when scheduling PPD screening and administering other parenteral live attenuated virus vaccines is prudent. If a risk exists that the opportunity to vaccinate might be missed, vaccination should not be delayed only because of these theoretical considerations.

Mucosally administered live attenuated virus vaccines (e.g., OPV and intranasally administered influenza vaccine) are unlikely to affect the response to PPD. No evidence has been reported that inactivated vaccines, polysaccharide vaccines, recombinant, or subunit vaccines, or toxoids interfere with response to PPD.

PPD reactivity in the absence of tuberculosis disease is not a contraindication to administration of any vaccine, including parenteral live attenuated virus vaccines. Tuberculosis disease is not a contraindication to vaccination, unless the person is moderately or severely ill. Although no studies have reported the effect of MMR vaccine on persons with untreated tuberculosis, a theoretical basis exists for concern that measles vaccine might exacerbate tuberculosis (6). Consequently, before administering MMR to persons with untreated active tuberculosis, initiating antituberculosis therapy is advisable (6). Ruling out concurrent immunosuppression (e.g., immunosuppression caused by HIV infection) before administering live attenuated vaccines is also prudent.

### Severe Allergy to Vaccine Components

Vaccine components can cause allergic reactions among certain recipients. These reactions can be local or systemic and can include mild to severe anaphylaxis or anaphylactic-like responses (e.g., generalized urticaria or hives, wheezing, swelling of the mouth and throat, difficulty breathing, hypotension, and shock). Allergic reactions might be caused by the vaccine antigen, residual animal protein, antimicrobial agents, preservatives, stabilizers, or other vaccine components (94). An extensive listing of vaccine components, their use, and the vaccines that contain each component has been published (95) and is also available from CDC's National Immunization

Program website at <http://www.cdc.gov/nip> (accessed October 31, 2001).

The most common animal protein allergen is egg protein, which is found in vaccines prepared by using embryonated chicken eggs (influenza and yellow fever vaccines). Ordinarily, persons who are able to eat eggs or egg products safely can receive these vaccines; persons with histories of anaphylactic or anaphylactic-like allergy to eggs or egg proteins should not be administered these vaccines. Asking persons if they can eat eggs without adverse effects is a reasonable way to determine who might be at risk for allergic reactions from receiving yellow fever and influenza vaccines. A regimen for administering influenza vaccine to children with egg hypersensitivity and severe asthma has been developed (96).

Measles and mumps vaccine viruses are grown in chick embryo fibroblast tissue culture. Persons with a serious egg allergy can receive measles- or mumps-containing vaccines without skin testing or desensitization to egg protein (6). Rubella and varicella vaccines are grown in human diploid cell cultures and can safely be administered to persons with histories of severe allergy to eggs or egg proteins. The rare serious allergic reaction after measles or mumps vaccination or MMR are not believed to be caused by egg antigens, but to other components of the vaccine (e.g., gelatin) (97-100). MMR, its component vaccines, and other vaccines contain hydrolyzed gelatin as a stabilizer. Extreme caution should be exercised when administering vaccines that contain gelatin to persons who have a history of an anaphylactic reaction to gelatin or gelatin-containing products. Before administering gelatin-containing vaccines to such persons, skin testing for sensitivity to gelatin can be considered. However, no specific protocols for this approach have been published.

Certain vaccines contain trace amounts of antibiotics or other preservatives (e.g., neomycin or thimerosal) to which patients might be severely allergic. The information provided in the vaccine package insert should be reviewed carefully before deciding if the rare patient with such allergies should receive the vaccine. No licensed vaccine contains penicillin or penicillin derivatives.

Certain vaccines contain trace amounts of neomycin. Persons who have experienced anaphylactic reactions to neomycin should not receive these vaccines. Most often, neomycin allergy is a contact dermatitis, a manifestation of a delayed type (cell-mediated) immune response, rather than anaphylaxis (101, 102). A history of delayed type reactions to neomycin is not a contraindication for administration of these vaccines.

Thimerosal is an organic mercurial compound in use since the 1930s and added to certain immunobiologic products as a

preservative. A joint statement issued by the U.S. Public Health Service and the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) in 1999 (103) and agreed to by the American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP) later in 1999, established the goal of removing thimerosal as soon as possible from vaccines routinely recommended for infants. Although no evidence exists of any harm caused by low levels of thimerosal in vaccines and the risk was only theoretical (104), this goal was established as a precautionary measure.

The public is concerned about the health effects of mercury exposure of any type, and the elimination of mercury from vaccines was judged a feasible means of reducing an infant's total exposure to mercury in a world where other environmental sources of exposure are more difficult or impossible to eliminate (e.g., certain foods). Since mid-2001, vaccines routinely recommended for children have been manufactured without thimerosal as a preservative and contain either no thimerosal or only trace amounts. Thimerosal as a preservative is present in certain other vaccines (e.g., Td, DT, one of two adult hepatitis B vaccines, and influenza vaccine). A trace thimerosal formulation of one brand of influenza vaccine was licensed by FDA in September 2001.

Receiving thimerosal-containing vaccines has been believed to lead to induction of allergy. However, limited scientific basis exists for this assertion (94). Hypersensitivity to thimerosal usually consists of local delayed type hypersensitivity reactions (105-107). Thimerosal elicits positive delayed type hypersensitivity patch tests in 1%-18% of persons tested, but these tests have limited or no clinical relevance (108, 109). The majority of patients do not experience reactions to thimerosal administered as a component of vaccines even when patch or intradermal tests for thimerosal indicate hypersensitivity (109). A localized or delayed type hypersensitivity reaction to thimerosal is not a contraindication to receipt of a vaccine that contains thimerosal.

## Latex Allergy

Latex is liquid sap from the commercial rubber tree. Latex contains naturally occurring impurities (e.g., plant proteins and peptides), which are believed to be responsible for allergic reactions. Latex is processed to form natural rubber latex and dry natural rubber. Dry natural rubber and natural rubber latex might contain the same plant impurities as latex but in lesser amounts. Natural rubber latex is used to produce medical gloves, catheters, and other products. Dry natural rubber is used in syringe plungers, vial stoppers, and injection ports on intravascular tubing. Synthetic rubber and synthetic latex also are used in medical

gloves, syringe plungers, and vial stoppers. Synthetic rubber and synthetic latex do not contain natural rubber or natural latex, and therefore, do not contain the impurities linked to allergic reactions.

The most common type of latex sensitivity is contact-type (type 4) allergy, usually as a result of prolonged contact with latex-containing gloves (110). However, injection-procedure-associated latex allergies among patients with diabetes have been described (111–113). Allergic reactions (including anaphylaxis) after vaccination procedures are rare. Only one report of an allergic reaction after administering hepatitis B vaccine in a patient with known severe allergy (anaphylaxis) to latex has been published (114).

If a person reports a severe (anaphylactic) allergy to latex, vaccines supplied in vials or syringes that contain natural rubber should not be administered, unless the benefit of vaccination outweighs the risk of an allergic reaction to the vaccine. For latex allergies other than anaphylactic allergies (e.g., a history of contact allergy to latex gloves), vaccines supplied in vials or syringes that contain dry natural rubber or natural rubber latex can be administered.

### Vaccination of Premature Infants

In the majority of cases, infants born prematurely, regardless of birth weight, should be vaccinated at the same chronological age and according to the same schedule and precautions as full-term infants and children. Birth weight and size are not factors in deciding whether to postpone routine vaccination of a clinically stable premature infant (115–117), except for hepatitis B vaccine. The full recommended dose of each vaccine should be used. Divided or reduced doses are not recommended (118).

Studies demonstrate that decreased seroconversion rates might occur among certain premature infants with low birth weights (i.e., <2,000 grams) after administration of hepatitis B vaccine at birth (119). However, by chronological age 1 month, all premature infants, regardless of initial birth weight or gestational age are as likely to respond as adequately as older and larger infants (120–122). A premature infant born to HBsAg-positive mothers and mothers with unknown HBsAg status must receive immunoprophylaxis with hepatitis B vaccine and hepatitis B immunoglobulin (HBIG)  $\leq$ 12 hours after birth. If these infants weigh <2,000 grams at birth, the initial vaccine dose should not be counted towards completion of the hepatitis B vaccine series, and three additional doses of hepatitis B vaccine should be administered, beginning when the infant is age 1 month. The optimal timing of the first dose of hepatitis B vaccine for premature infants of HBsAg-negative mothers with a birth

weight of <2,000 grams has not been determined. However, these infants can receive the first dose of the hepatitis B vaccine series at chronological age 1 month. Premature infants discharged from the hospital before chronological age 1 month can also be administered hepatitis B vaccine at discharge, if they are medically stable and have gained weight consistently.

### Breast-Feeding and Vaccination

Neither inactivated nor live vaccines administered to a lactating woman affect the safety of breast-feeding for mothers or infants. Breast-feeding does not adversely affect immunization and is not a contraindication for any vaccine. Limited data indicate that breast-feeding can enhance the response to certain vaccine antigens (123). Breast-fed infants should be vaccinated according to routine recommended schedules (124–126).

Although live vaccines multiply within the mother's body, the majority have not been demonstrated to be excreted in human milk. Although rubella vaccine virus might be excreted in human milk, the virus usually does not infect the infant. If infection does occur, it is well-tolerated because the viruses are attenuated (127). Inactivated, recombinant, subunit, polysaccharide, conjugate vaccines and toxoids pose no risk for mothers who are breast-feeding or for their infants.

### Vaccination During Pregnancy

Risk to a developing fetus from vaccination of the mother during pregnancy is primarily theoretical. No evidence exists of risk from vaccinating pregnant women with inactivated virus or bacterial vaccines or toxoids (128,129). Benefits of vaccinating pregnant women usually outweigh potential risks when the likelihood of disease exposure is high, when infection would pose a risk to the mother or fetus, and when the vaccine is unlikely to cause harm.

Td toxoid is indicated routinely for pregnant women. Previously vaccinated pregnant women who have not received a Td vaccination within the last 10 years should receive a booster dose. Pregnant women who are not immunized or only partially immunized against tetanus should complete the primary series (130). Depending on when a woman seeks prenatal care and the required interval between doses, one or two doses of Td can be administered before delivery. Women for whom the vaccine is indicated, but who have not completed the recommended three-dose series during pregnancy, should receive follow-up after delivery to ensure the series is completed.

Women in the second and third trimesters of pregnancy have been demonstrated to be at increased risk for hospitalization from influenza (131). Therefore, routine influenza vaccina-

tion is recommended for healthy women who will be beyond the first trimester of pregnancy (i.e.,  $\geq 14$  weeks of gestation) during influenza season (usually December–March in the United States) (88). Women who have medical conditions that increase their risk for complications of influenza should be vaccinated before the influenza season, regardless of the stage of pregnancy.

IPV can be administered to pregnant women who are at risk for exposure to wild-type poliovirus infection (4). Hepatitis B vaccine is recommended for pregnant women at risk for hepatitis B virus infection (132). Hepatitis A, pneumococcal polysaccharide, and meningococcal polysaccharide vaccines should be considered for women at increased risk for those infections (43,133,134).

Pregnant women who must travel to areas where the risk for yellow fever is high should receive yellow fever vaccine, because the limited theoretical risk from vaccination is substantially outweighed by the risk for yellow fever infection (22,135). Pregnancy is a contraindication for measles, mumps, rubella, and varicella vaccines. Although of theoretical concern, no cases of congenital rubella or varicella syndrome or abnormalities attributable to fetal infection have been observed among infants born to susceptible women who received rubella or varicella vaccines during pregnancy (6,136). Because of the importance of protecting women of childbearing age against rubella, reasonable practices in any immunization program include asking women if they are pregnant or intend to become pregnant in the next 4 weeks, not vaccinating women who state that they are pregnant, explaining the potential risk for the fetus to women who state that they are not pregnant, and counseling women who are vaccinated not to become pregnant during the 4 weeks after MMR vaccination (6,35,137). Routine pregnancy testing of women of childbearing age before administering a live-virus vaccine is not recommended (6). If a pregnant woman is inadvertently vaccinated or if she becomes pregnant within 4 weeks after MMR or varicella vaccination, she should be counseled regarding the theoretical basis of concern for the fetus; however, MMR or varicella vaccination during pregnancy should not ordinarily be a reason to terminate pregnancy (6,8).

Persons who receive MMR vaccine do not transmit the vaccine viruses to contacts (6). Transmission of varicella vaccine virus to contacts is rare (138). MMR and varicella vaccines should be administered when indicated to the children and other household contacts of pregnant women (6,8).

All pregnant women should be evaluated for immunity to rubella and be tested for the presence of HBsAg (6,35,132). Women susceptible to rubella should be vaccinated immedi-

ately after delivery. A woman known to be HBsAg-positive should be followed carefully to ensure that the infant receives HBIG and begins the hepatitis B vaccine series  $\leq 12$  hours after birth and that the infant completes the recommended hepatitis B vaccine series (132). No known risk exists for the fetus from passive immunization of pregnant women with immune globulin preparations.

## Vaccination of Internationally Adopted Children

The ability of a clinician to determine that a person is protected on the basis of their country of origin and their records alone is limited. Internationally adopted children should receive vaccines according to recommended schedules for children in the United States. Only written documentation should be accepted as evidence of prior vaccination. Written records are more likely to predict protection if the vaccines, dates of administration, intervals between doses, and the child's age at the time of immunization are comparable to the current U.S. recommendations. Although vaccines with inadequate potency have been produced in other countries (139,140), the majority of vaccines used worldwide are produced with adequate quality control standards and are potent.

The number of American families adopting children from outside the United States has increased substantially in recent years (141). Adopted children's birth countries often have immunization schedules that differ from the recommended childhood immunization schedule in the United States. Differences in the U.S. immunization schedule and those used in other countries include the vaccines administered, the recommended ages of administration, and the number and timing of doses.

Data are inconclusive regarding the extent to which an internationally adopted child's immunization record reflects the child's protection. A child's record might indicate administration of MMR vaccine when only single-antigen measles vaccine was administered. A study of children adopted from the People's Republic of China, Russia, and Eastern Europe determined that only 39% (range: 17%–88% by country) of children with documentation of  $>3$  doses of DTP before adoption had protective levels of diphtheria and tetanus antitoxin (142). However, antibody testing was performed by using a hemagglutination assay, which tends to underestimate protection and cannot directly be compared with antibody concentration (143). Another study measured antibody to diphtheria and tetanus toxins among 51 children who had records of having received  $\geq 2$  doses of DTP. The majority of the children were from Russia, Eastern Europe, and Asian countries, and 78% had re-

ceived all their vaccine doses in an orphanage. Overall, 94% had evidence of protection against diphtheria (EIA > 0.1 IU/mL). A total of 84% had protection against tetanus (enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay [ELISA] > 0.5 IU/mL). Among children without protective tetanus antitoxin concentration, all except one had records of  $\geq 3$  doses of vaccine, and the majority of nonprotective concentrations were categorized as indeterminate (ELISA = 0.05–0.49 IU/mL) (144). Reasons for the discrepant findings in these two studies probably relate to different laboratory methodologies; the study using a hemagglutination assay might have underestimated the number of children who were protected. Additional studies using standardized methodologies are needed. Data are likely to remain limited for countries other than the People's Republic of China, Russia, and Eastern Europe because of the limited number of adoptees from other countries.

Physicians and other health-care providers can follow one of multiple approaches if a question exists regarding whether vaccines administered to an international adoptee were im-

munogenic. Repeating the vaccinations is an acceptable option. Doing so is usually safe and avoids the need to obtain and interpret serologic tests. If avoiding unnecessary injections is desired, judicious use of serologic testing might be helpful in determining which immunizations are needed. This report provides guidance on possible approaches to evaluation and revaccination for each vaccine recommended universally for children in the United States (see Table 6 and the following sections).

**MMR Vaccine**

The simplest approach to resolving concerns regarding MMR immunization among internationally adopted children is to revaccinate with one or two doses of MMR vaccine, depending on the child's age. Serious adverse events after MMR vaccinations are rare (6). No evidence indicates that administering MMR vaccine increases the risk for adverse reactions among persons who are already immune to measles, mumps, or rubella as a result of previous vaccination or natural disease. Doses of measles-containing vaccine

**TABLE 6. Approaches to the evaluation and vaccination of internationally adopted children**

Vaccine	Recommended approach	Alternative approach
Measles, mumps, and rubella (MMR)	Revaccinate with MMR	Serologic testing for immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibody to vaccine viruses indicated by vaccination record
<i>Haemophilus influenzae</i> type b (Hib)	Age-appropriate revaccination	—
Hepatitis B	Serological testing for hepatitis B surface antigen	—
Poliovirus	Revaccinate with inactivated poliovirus vaccine (IPV)	Serologic testing for neutralizing antibody to poliovirus types 1, 2, and 3 (limited availability), or administer single dose of IPV, followed by serologic testing for neutralizing antibody to poliovirus types 1, 2, and 3
Diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and acellular pertussis (DTaP)	Revaccination with DTaP, with serologic testing for specific IgG antibody to tetanus and diphtheria toxins in the event of a severe local reaction	Children whose records indicate receipt of $\geq 3$ doses: serologic testing for specific IgG antibody to diphtheria and tetanus toxins before administering additional doses (see text), or administer a single booster dose of DTaP, followed by serological testing after 1 month for specific IgG antibody to diphtheria and tetanus toxins with revaccination as appropriate (see text)
Varicella	Age-appropriate vaccination of children who lack a reliable history of previous varicella disease	—
Pneumococcal	Age-appropriate vaccination	—

administered before the first birthday should not be counted as part of the series (6). Alternatively, serologic testing for immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibody to vaccine viruses indicated on the vaccination record can be considered. Serologic testing is widely available for measles and rubella IgG antibody. A child whose record indicates receipt of monovalent measles or measles-rubella vaccine at age  $\geq 1$  year and who has protective antibody against measles and rubella should receive a single dose of MMR as age-appropriate to ensure protection against mumps (and rubella if measles vaccine alone had been used). If a child whose record indicates receipt of MMR at age  $\geq 12$  months has a protective concentration of antibody to measles, no additional vaccination is needed unless required for school entry.

### Hib Vaccine

Serologic correlates of protection for children vaccinated  $>2$  months previously might be difficult to interpret. Because the number of vaccinations needed for protection decreases with age and adverse events are rare (24), age-appropriate vaccination should be provided. Hib vaccination is not recommended routinely for children aged  $\geq 5$  years.

### Hepatitis B Vaccine

Serologic testing for HBsAg is recommended for international adoptees, and children determined to be HBsAg-positive should be monitored for the development of liver disease. Household members of HBsAg-positive children should be vaccinated. A child whose records indicate receipt of  $\geq 3$  doses of vaccine can be considered protected, and additional doses are not needed if  $\geq 1$  doses were administered at age  $\geq 6$  months. Children who received their last hepatitis B vaccine dose at age  $<6$  months should receive an additional dose at age  $\geq 6$  months. Those who have received  $<3$  doses should complete the series at the recommended intervals and ages (Table 1).

### Poliovirus Vaccine

The simplest approach is to revaccinate internationally adopted children with IPV according to the U.S. schedule. Adverse events after IPV are rare (4). Children appropriately vaccinated with three doses of OPV in economically developing countries might have suboptimal seroconversion, including to type 3 poliovirus (125). Serologic testing for neutralizing antibody to poliovirus types 1, 2, and 3 can be obtained commercially and at certain state health department laboratories. Children with protective titers against all three types do not need revaccination and should complete the schedule as age-appropriate. Alternately, because the booster response after a single dose of IPV is excellent among children who previously received OPV (3), a single

dose of IPV can be administered initially with serologic testing performed 1 month later.

### DTaP Vaccine

Vaccination providers can revaccinate a child with DTaP vaccine without regard to recorded doses; however, one concern regarding this approach is that data indicate increased rates of local adverse reactions after the fourth and fifth doses of DTP or DTaP (42). If a revaccination approach is adopted and a severe local reaction occurs, serologic testing for specific IgG antibody to tetanus and diphtheria toxins can be measured before administering additional doses. Protective concentration\*\* indicates that further doses are unnecessary and subsequent vaccination should occur as age-appropriate. No established serologic correlates exist for protection against pertussis.

For a child whose record indicates receipt of  $\geq 3$  doses of DTP or DTaP, serologic testing for specific IgG antibody to both diphtheria and tetanus toxin before additional doses is a reasonable approach. If a protective concentration is present, recorded doses can be considered valid, and the vaccination series should be completed as age-appropriate. Indeterminate antibody concentration might indicate immunologic memory but antibody waning; serology can be repeated after a booster dose if the vaccination provider wishes to avoid revaccination with a complete series.

Alternately, for a child whose records indicate receipt of  $\geq 3$  doses, a single booster dose can be administered, followed by serologic testing after 1 month for specific IgG antibody to both diphtheria and tetanus toxins. If a protective concentration is obtained, the recorded doses can be considered valid and the vaccination series completed as age-appropriate. Children with indeterminate concentration after a booster dose should be revaccinated with a complete series.

### Varicella Vaccine

Varicella vaccine is not administered in the majority of countries. A child who lacks a reliable medical history regarding prior varicella disease should be vaccinated as age-appropriate (8).

### Pneumococcal Vaccines

Pneumococcal conjugate and pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccines are not administered in the majority of coun-

\*\*Toxin neutralization testing is reliable but not readily available. Enzyme immunoassay tests are the most readily available, although passive hemagglutination is available in certain areas. Physicians should contact the laboratory performing the test for interpretive standards and limitations. Protective concentrations for diphtheria are defined as  $\geq 0.1$  IU/mL and for tetanus as  $\geq 0.1$ – $0.2$  IU/mL.

tries and should be administered as age-appropriate or as indicated by the presence of underlying medical conditions (26,43).

### Altered Immunocompetence

ACIP's statement regarding vaccinating immunocompromised persons summarizes recommendations regarding the efficacy, safety, and use of specific vaccines and immune globulin preparations for immunocompromised persons (145). ACIP statements regarding individual vaccines or immune globulins contain additional information regarding those concerns.

Severe immunosuppression can be the result of congenital immunodeficiency, HIV infection, leukemia, lymphoma, generalized malignancy or therapy with alkylating agents, antimetabolites, radiation, or a high dose, prolonged course of corticosteroids. The degree to which a person is immunocompromised should be determined by a physician. Severe complications have followed vaccination with live-virus vaccines and live bacterial vaccines among immunocompromised patients (146-153). These patients should not receive live vaccines except in certain circumstances that are noted in the following paragraphs. MMR vaccine viruses are not transmitted to contacts, and transmission of varicella vaccine virus is rare (6,138). MMR and varicella vaccines should be administered to susceptible household and other close contacts of immunocompromised patients when indicated.

Persons with HIV infection are at increased risk for severe complications if infected with measles. No severe or unusual adverse events have been reported after measles vaccination among HIV-infected persons who did not have evidence of severe immunosuppression (154-157). As a result, MMR vaccination is recommended for all HIV-infected persons who do not have evidence of severe immunosuppression<sup>††</sup> and for whom measles vaccination would otherwise be indicated.

Children with HIV infection are at increased risk for complications of primary varicella and for herpes zoster, compared with immunocompetent children (138,158). Limited data among asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic HIV-infected children (CDC class N1 or A1, age-specific CD4<sup>+</sup>

lymphocyte percentages of  $\geq 25\%$ ) indicate that varicella vaccine is immunogenic, effective, and safe (138,159). Varicella vaccine should be considered for asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic HIV-infected children in CDC class N1 or A1 with age-specific CD4<sup>+</sup> T lymphocyte percentages of  $\geq 25\%$ . Eligible children should receive two doses of varicella vaccine with a 3-month interval between doses (138).

HIV-infected persons who are receiving regular doses of IGIV might not respond to varicella vaccine or MMR or its individual component vaccines because of the continued presence of passively acquired antibody. However, because of the potential benefit, measles vaccination should be considered approximately 2 weeks before the next scheduled dose of IGIV (if not otherwise contraindicated), although an optimal immune response is unlikely to occur. Unless serologic testing indicates that specific antibodies have been produced, vaccination should be repeated (if not otherwise contraindicated) after the recommended interval (Table 4). An additional dose of IGIV should be considered for persons on maintenance IGIV therapy who are exposed to measles  $\geq 3$  weeks after administering a standard dose (100-400 mg/kg body weight) of IGIV.

Persons with cellular immunodeficiency should not receive varicella vaccine. However, ACIP recommends that persons with impaired humoral immunity (e.g., hypogammaglobulinemia or dysgammaglobulinemia) should be vaccinated (138,160).

Inactivated, recombinant, subunit, polysaccharide, and conjugate vaccines and toxoids can be administered to all immunocompromised patients, although response to such vaccines might be suboptimal. If indicated, all inactivated vaccines are recommended for immunocompromised persons in usual doses and schedules. In addition, pneumococcal, meningococcal, and Hib vaccines are recommended specifically for certain groups of immunocompromised patients, including those with functional or anatomic asplenia (145,161).

Except for influenza vaccine, which should be administered annually (88), vaccination during chemotherapy or radiation therapy should be avoided because antibody response is suboptimal. Patients vaccinated while receiving immunosuppressive therapy or in the 2 weeks before starting therapy should be considered unimmunized and should be revaccinated  $\geq 3$  months after therapy is discontinued. Patients with leukemia in remission whose chemotherapy has been terminated for  $\geq 3$  months can receive live-virus vaccines.

<sup>††</sup> As defined by a low age-specific total CD4<sup>+</sup> T lymphocyte count or a low CD4<sup>+</sup> T lymphocyte count as a percentage of total lymphocytes. ACIP recommendations for using MMR vaccine contain additional details regarding the criteria for severe immunosuppression in persons with HIV infection (Source: CDC. Measles, mumps, and rubella — vaccine use and strategies for elimination of measles, rubella, and congenital rubella syndrome and control of mumps: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices [ACIP]. MMWR 1998;47[No. RR-8]:1-57).

## Corticosteroids

The exact amount of systemically absorbed corticosteroids and the duration of administration needed to suppress the immune system of an otherwise immunocompetent person are not well-defined. The majority of experts agree that corticosteroid therapy usually is not a contraindication to administering live-virus vaccine when it is short-term (i.e., <2 weeks); a low to moderate dose; long-term, alternate-day treatment with short-acting preparations; maintenance physiologic doses (replacement therapy); or administered topically (skin or eyes) or by intra-articular, bursal, or tendon injection (145). Although of theoretical concern, no evidence of increased severity of reactions to live vaccines has been reported among persons receiving corticosteroid therapy by aerosol, and such therapy is not a reason to delay vaccination. The immunosuppressive effects of steroid treatment vary, but the majority of clinicians consider a dose equivalent to either  $\geq 2$  mg/kg of body weight or a total of 20 mg/day of prednisone or equivalent for children who weigh >10 kg, when administered for  $\geq 2$  weeks as sufficiently immunosuppressive to raise concern regarding the safety of vaccination with live-virus vaccines (84,145). Corticosteroids used in greater than physiologic doses also can reduce the immune response to vaccines. Vaccination providers should wait  $\geq 1$  month after discontinuation of therapy before administering a live-virus vaccine to patients who have received high systemically absorbed doses of corticosteroids for  $\geq 2$  weeks.

## Vaccination of Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant Recipients

Hematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT) is the infusion of hematopoietic stem cells from a donor into a patient who has received chemotherapy and often radiation, both of which are usually bone marrow ablative. HSCT is used to treat a variety of neoplastic diseases, hematologic disorders, immunodeficiency syndromes, congenital enzyme deficiencies, and autoimmune disorders. HSCT recipients can receive either their own cells (i.e., autologous HSCT) or cells from a donor other than the transplant recipient (i.e., allogeneic HSCT). The source of the transplanted stem cells can be from either a donor's bone marrow or peripheral blood or harvested from the umbilical cord of a newborn infant (162).

Antibody titers to vaccine-preventable diseases (e.g., tetanus, poliovirus, measles, mumps, rubella, and encapsulated bacteria) decline during the 1–4 years after allogeneic or autologous HSCT if the recipient is not revaccinated (163–167). HSCT recipients are at increased risk for certain vaccine-pre-

ventable diseases, including those caused by encapsulated bacteria (i.e., pneumococcal and Hib infections). As a result, HSCT recipients should be routinely revaccinated after HSCT, regardless of the source of the transplanted stem cells. Revaccination with inactivated, recombinant, subunit, polysaccharide, and Hib vaccines should begin 12 months after HSCT (162). An exception to this recommendation is for influenza vaccine, which should be administered at  $\geq 6$  months after HSCT and annually for the life of the recipient thereafter. MMR vaccine should be administered 24 months after transplantation if the HSCT recipient is presumed to be immunocompetent. Varicella, meningococcal, and pneumococcal conjugate vaccines are not recommended for HSCT recipients because of insufficient experience using these vaccines among HSCT recipients (162). The household and other close contacts of HSCT recipients and health-care workers who care for HSCT recipients, should be appropriately vaccinated, including against influenza, measles, and varicella. Additional details of vaccination of HSCT recipients and their contacts can be found in a specific CDC report on this topic (162).

## Vaccinating Persons with Bleeding Disorders and Persons Receiving Anticoagulant Therapy

Persons with bleeding disorders (e.g., hemophilia) and persons receiving anticoagulant therapy have an increased risk for acquiring hepatitis B and at least the same risk as the general population of acquiring other vaccine-preventable diseases. However, because of the risk for hematoma formation after injections, intramuscular injections are often avoided among persons with bleeding disorders by using the subcutaneous or intradermal routes for vaccines that are administered normally by the intramuscular route. Hepatitis B vaccine administered intramuscularly to 153 persons with hemophilia by using a 23-gauge needle, followed by steady pressure to the site for 1–2 minutes, resulted in a 4% bruising rate with no patients requiring factor supplementation (168). Whether antigens that produce more local reactions (e.g., pertussis) would produce an equally low rate of bruising is unknown.

When hepatitis B or any other intramuscular vaccine is indicated for a patient with a bleeding disorder or a person receiving anticoagulant therapy, the vaccine should be administered intramuscularly if, in the opinion of a physician familiar with the patient's bleeding risk, the vaccine can be administered with reasonable safety by this route. If the patient receives antihemophilia or similar therapy, intramuscular vaccinations can be scheduled shortly after such

therapy is administered. A fine needle ( $\leq 23$  gauge) should be used for the vaccination and firm pressure applied to the site, without rubbing, for  $\geq 2$  minutes. The patient or family should be instructed concerning the risk for hematoma from the injection.

## Vaccination Records

### Consent to Vaccinate

The National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986 (42 U.S.C. § 300aa-26) requires that all health-care providers in the United States who administer any vaccine covered by the act<sup>55</sup> must provide a copy of the relevant, current edition of the vaccine information materials that have been produced by CDC before administering each dose of the vaccine. The vaccine information material must be provided to the parent or legal representative of any child or to any adult to whom the physician or other health-care provider intends to administer the vaccine. The Act does not require that a signature be obtained, but documentation of consent is recommended or required by certain state or local authorities.

### Provider Records

Documentation of patient vaccinations helps ensure that persons in need of a vaccine receive it and that adequately vaccinated patients are not overimmunized, possibly increasing the risk for local adverse events (e.g., tetanus toxoid). Serologic test results for vaccine-preventable diseases (e.g., those for rubella screening) as well as documented episodes of adverse events also should be recorded in the permanent medical record of the vaccine recipient.

Health-care providers who administer vaccines covered by the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act are required to ensure that the permanent medical record of the recipient (or a permanent office log or file) indicates the date the vaccine was administered, the vaccine manufacturer, the vaccine lot number, and the name, address, and title of the person administering the vaccine. Additionally, the provider is required to record the edition date of the vaccine information materials distributed and the date those materials were provided. Regarding this Act, the term *health-care provider* is defined as any licensed health-care professional, organization, or institution, whether private or public (including federal, state, and local departments and agencies), under whose authority a specified vaccine is adminis-

tered. ACIP recommends that this same information be kept for all vaccines, not just for those required by the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act.

### Patients' Personal Records

Official immunization cards have been adopted by every state, territory, and the District of Columbia to encourage uniformity of records and to facilitate assessment of immunization status by schools and child care centers. The records also are key tools in immunization education programs aimed at increasing parental and patient awareness of the need for vaccines. A permanent immunization record card should be established for each newborn infant and maintained by the parent or guardian. In certain states, these cards are distributed to new mothers before discharge from the hospital. Using immunization record cards for adolescents and adults also is encouraged.

### Registries

Immunization registries are confidential, population-based, computerized information systems that collect vaccination data for as many children as possible within a geographic area. Registries are a critical tool that can increase and sustain increased vaccination coverage by consolidating vaccination records of children from multiple providers, generating reminder and recall vaccination notices for each child, and providing official vaccination forms and vaccination coverage assessments (169). A fully operational immunization registry also can prevent duplicate vaccinations, limit missed appointments, reduce vaccine waste, and reduce staff time required to produce or locate immunization records or certificates. The National Vaccine Advisory Committee strongly encourages development of community- or state-based immunization registry systems and recommends that vaccination providers participate in these registries whenever possible (170,171). A 95% participation of children aged  $<6$  years in fully operational population-based immunization registries is a national health objective for 2010 (172).

## Reporting Adverse Events After Vaccination

Modern vaccines are safe and effective; however, adverse events have been reported after administration of all vaccines (82). These events range from frequent, minor, local reactions to extremely rare, severe, systemic illness (e.g., encephalopathy). Establishing evidence for cause-and-effect relationships on the basis of case reports and case series alone is impos-

<sup>55</sup>As of January 2002, vaccines covered by the act include diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, measles, mumps, rubella, poliovirus, hepatitis B, Hib, varicella, and pneumococcal conjugate.

sible because temporal association alone does not necessarily indicate causation. Unless the syndrome that occurs after vaccination is clinically or pathologically distinctive, more detailed epidemiologic studies to compare the incidence of the event among vaccinees with the incidence among unvaccinated persons are often necessary. Reporting adverse events to public health authorities, including serious events, is a key stimulus to developing studies to confirm or refute a causal association with vaccination. More complete information regarding adverse reactions to a specific vaccine can be found in the ACIP recommendations for that vaccine and in a specific statement on vaccine adverse reactions (82).

The National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act requires health-care providers to report selected events occurring after vaccination to the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS). Events for which reporting is required appear in the Vaccine Injury Table.<sup>11</sup> Persons other than health-care workers also can report adverse events to VAERS. Adverse events other than those that must be reported or that occur after administration of vaccines not covered by the act, including events that are serious or unusual, also should be reported to VAERS, even if the physician or other health-care provider is uncertain they are related causally. VAERS forms and instructions are available in the FDA Drug Bulletin, by calling the 24-hour VAERS Hotline at 800-822-7967, or from the VAERS website at <http://www.vaers.org> (accessed November 7, 2001).

### Vaccine Injury Compensation Program

The National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, established by the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act, is a no-fault system in which persons thought to have suffered an injury or death as a result of administration of a covered vaccine can seek compensation. The program, which became operational on October 1, 1988, is intended as an alternative to civil litigation under the traditional tort system in that negligence need not be proven. Claims arising from covered vaccines must first be adjudicated through the program before civil litigation can be pursued.

The program relies on a Vaccine Injury Table listing the vaccines covered by the program as well as the injuries, disabilities, illnesses, and conditions (including death) for which compensation might be awarded. The table defines the time during which the first symptom or substantial aggravation of an injury must appear after vaccination. Successful claimants receive a legal presumption of causation if a condition listed in

the table is proven, thus avoiding the need to prove actual causation in an individual case. Claimants also can prevail for conditions not listed in the table if they prove causation. Injuries after administration of vaccines not listed in the legislation authorizing the program are not eligible for compensation through the program. Additional information is available from the following:

National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program  
Health Resources and Services Administration  
Parklawn Building, Room 8-46  
5600 Fishers Lane  
Rockville, MD 20857  
Telephone: 800-338-2382 (24-hour recording)  
Internet: <http://www.hrsa.gov/bhpr/vicp> (accessed November 7, 2001)

Persons wishing to file a claim for vaccine injury should call or write the following:

U.S. Court of Federal Claims  
717 Madison Place, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Telephone: 202-219-9657

### Benefit and Risk Communication

Parents, guardians, legal representatives, and adolescent and adult patients should be informed regarding the benefits and risks of vaccines in understandable language. Opportunity for questions should be provided before each vaccination. Discussion of the benefits and risks of vaccination is sound medical practice and is required by law.

The National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act requires that vaccine information materials be developed for each vaccine covered by the Act. These materials, known as *Vaccine Information Statements*, must be provided by all public and private vaccination providers each time a vaccine is administered. Copies of Vaccine Information Statements are available from state health authorities responsible for immunization, or they can be obtained from CDC's National Immunization Program website at <http://www.cdc.gov/nip> (accessed November 7, 2001). Translations of Vaccine Information Statements into languages other than English are available from certain state immunization programs and from the Immunization Action Coalition website at <http://www.immunize.org> (accessed November 7, 2001).

Health-care providers should anticipate that certain parents or patients will question the need for or safety of vaccination, refuse certain vaccines, or even reject all vaccinations. A limited number of persons might have religious or personal objections to vaccinations. Others wish to enter into

<sup>11</sup>The Vaccine Injury Table can be obtained from the Vaccine Injury Compensation Program Internet site at <http://www.hrsa.dhhs.gov/bhpr/vicp/table.htm> (accessed November 7, 2001).

a dialogue regarding the risks and benefits of certain vaccines. Having a basic understanding of how patients view vaccine risk and developing effective approaches in dealing with vaccine safety concerns when they arise is imperative for vaccination providers.

Each person understands and reacts to vaccine information on the basis of different factors, including prior experience, education, personal values, method of data presentation, perceptions of the risk for disease, perceived ability to control those risks, and their risk preference. Increasingly, through the media and nonauthoritative Internet sites, decisions regarding risk are based on inaccurate information. Only through direct dialogue with parents and by using available resources, health-care professionals can prevent acceptance of media reports and information from nonauthoritative Internet sites as scientific fact.

When a parent or patient initiates discussion regarding a vaccine controversy, the health-care professional should discuss the specific concerns and provide factual information, using language that is appropriate. Effective, empathetic vaccine risk communication is essential in responding to misinformation and concerns, although recognizing that for certain persons, risk assessment and decision-making is difficult and confusing. Certain vaccines might be acceptable to the resistant parent. Their concerns should then be addressed in the context of this information, using the Vaccine Information Statements and offering other resource materials (e.g., information available on the National Immunization Program website).

Although a limited number of providers might choose to exclude from their practice those patients who question or refuse vaccination, the more effective public health strategy is to identify common ground and discuss measures that need to be followed if the patient's decision is to defer vaccination. Health-care providers can reinforce key points regarding each vaccine, including safety, and emphasize risks encountered by unimmunized children. Parents should be advised of state laws pertaining to school or child care entry, which might require that unimmunized children stay home from school during outbreaks. Documentation of these discussions in the patient's record, including the refusal to receive certain vaccines (i.e., informed refusal), might reduce any potential liability if a vaccine-preventable disease occurs in the unimmunized patient.

## Vaccination Programs

The best way to reduce vaccine-preventable diseases is to have a highly immune population. Universal vaccination is

a critical part of quality health care and should be accomplished through routine and intensive vaccination programs implemented in physicians' offices and in public health clinics. Programs should be established and maintained in all communities to ensure vaccination of all children at the recommended age. In addition, appropriate vaccinations should be available for all adolescents and adults.

Physicians and other pediatric vaccination providers should adhere to the standards for child and adolescent immunization practices (1). These standards define appropriate vaccination practices for both the public and private sectors. The standards provide guidance on practices that will result in eliminating barriers to vaccination. These include practices aimed at eliminating unnecessary prerequisites for receiving vaccinations, eliminating missed opportunities to vaccinate, improving procedures to assess vaccination needs, enhancing knowledge regarding vaccinations among parents and providers, and improving the management and reporting of adverse events. Additionally, the standards address the importance of recall and reminder systems and using assessments to monitor clinic or office vaccination coverage levels among patients.

Standards of practice also have been published to increase vaccination coverage among adults (2). Persons aged  $\geq 65$  years and all adults with medical conditions that place them at risk for pneumococcal disease should receive  $\geq 1$  doses of pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine. All persons aged  $\geq 50$  years and those with medical conditions that increase the risk for complications from influenza should receive annual influenza vaccination. All adults should complete a primary series of tetanus and diphtheria toxoids and receive a booster dose every 10 years. Adult vaccination programs also should provide MMR and varicella vaccines whenever possible to anyone susceptible to measles, mumps, rubella, or varicella. Persons born after 1956 who are attending college (or other posthigh school educational institutions), who are employed in environments that place them at increased risk for measles transmission (e.g., health-care facilities), or who are traveling to areas with endemic measles, should have documentation of having received two doses of MMR on or after their first birthday or other evidence of immunity (6,173). All other adults born after 1956 should have documentation of  $\geq 1$  doses of MMR vaccine on or after their first birthday or have other evidence of immunity. No evidence indicates that administering MMR vaccine increases the risk for adverse reactions among persons who are already immune to measles, mumps, or rubella as a result of previous vaccination or disease. Widespread use of hepatitis B vaccine is encouraged for all persons who might be at in-

creased risk (e.g., adolescents and adults who are either in a group at high risk or reside in areas with increased rates of injection-drug use, teenage pregnancy, or sexually transmitted disease).

Every visit to a physician or other health-care provider can be an opportunity to update a patient's immunization status with needed vaccinations. Official health agencies should take necessary steps, including developing and enforcing school immunization requirements, to ensure that students at all grade levels (including college) and those in child care centers are protected against vaccine-preventable diseases. Agencies also should encourage institutions (e.g., hospitals and long-term care facilities) to adopt policies regarding the appropriate vaccination of patients, residents, and employees (173).

Dates of vaccination (day, month, and year) should be recorded on institutional immunization records (e.g., those kept in schools and child care centers). This record will facilitate assessments that a primary vaccination series has been completed according to an appropriate schedule and that needed booster doses have been administered at the appropriate time.

The independent, nonfederal Task Force on Community Preventive Services (the Task Force) gives public health decision-makers recommendations on population-based in-

terventions to promote health and prevent disease, injury, disability, and premature death. The recommendations are based on systematic reviews of the scientific literature regarding effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of these interventions. In addition, the Task Force identifies critical information regarding the other effects of these interventions, as well as the applicability to specific populations and settings and the potential barriers to implementation. This information is available through the Internet at <http://www.thecommunityguide.org> (accessed November 7, 2001).

Beginning in 1996, the Task Force systematically reviewed published evidence on the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of population-based interventions to increase coverage of vaccines recommended for routine use among children, adolescents, and adults. A total of 197 articles were identified that evaluated a relevant intervention, met inclusion criteria, and were published during 1980–1997. Reviews of 17 specific interventions were published in 1999 (174–176). Using the results of their review, the Task Force made recommendations regarding the use of these interventions (177). A number of interventions were identified and recommended on the basis of published evidence. The interventions and the recommendations are summarized in this report (Table 7).

**TABLE 7. Summary of recommendations regarding interventions to improve coverage of vaccines recommended for routine use among children, adolescents, and adults\***

Intervention	Recommendation
<b>Interventions that increase community demand for immunizations</b>	
Client reminder or recall systems	Strongly recommended
Multicomponent interventions, including education	Strongly recommended
School-, child care-, and college-entry requirements	Recommended
Community education alone	Insufficient evidence
Clinic-based education	Insufficient evidence
Patient or family incentives or sanctions	Insufficient evidence
Client-held medical records	Insufficient evidence
<b>Interventions that enhance access to vaccination services</b>	
Reducing out-of-pocket costs	Strongly recommended
Enhancing access through the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Women, Infants, and Children program	Recommended
Home visits, outreach, and case management	Recommended
Enhancing access at child care centers	Insufficient evidence
Enhancing access at schools	Insufficient evidence
Expanding access in health-care settings	Recommended as part of multicomponent interventions only
<b>Interventions that target providers</b>	
Reminder or recall systems	Strongly recommended
Assessment and feedback	Strongly recommended
Standing orders	Strongly recommended
Provider education alone	Insufficient evidence

\* Adapted from Task Force on Community Preventive Services. Recommendations regarding interventions to improve vaccination coverage in children, adolescents, and adults. *Am J Prev Med* 2000;18(1 Suppl):92–6.

## Vaccine Information Sources

In addition to these general recommendations, other sources are available that contain specific and updated vaccine information.

### National Immunization Information Hotline

The National Immunization Information Hotline is supported by CDC's National Immunization Program and provides vaccination information for health-care providers and the public, 8:00 am–11:00 pm, Monday–Friday:

Telephone (English): 800-232-2522

Telephone (Spanish): 800-232-0233

Telephone (TTY): 800-243-7889

Internet: <http://www.ashastd.org>  
(accessed November 7, 2001)

### CDC's National Immunization Program

CDC's National Immunization Program website provides direct access to immunization recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP), vaccination schedules, vaccine safety information, publications, provider education and training, and links to other immunization-related websites. It is located at <http://www.cdc.gov/nip> (accessed November 7, 2001).

### Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report

ACIP recommendations regarding vaccine use, statements of vaccine policy as they are developed, and reports of specific disease activity are published by CDC in the *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR)* series. Electronic subscriptions are free and available at <http://www.cdc.gov/subscribe.html> (accessed November 7, 2001). Printed subscriptions are available at

Superintendent of Documents  
U.S. Government Printing Office  
Washington, D.C. 20402-9235

### American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP)

Every 3 years, AAP issues the *Red Book: Report of the Committee on Infectious Diseases*, which contains a composite summary of AAP recommendations concerning infectious diseases and immunizations for infants, children, and adolescents.

Telephone: 888-227-1770

Internet: <http://www.aap.org>  
(accessed November 7, 2001)

### American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP)

Information from the professional organization of family physicians is available at <http://www.aafp.org> (accessed November 7, 2001).

### Immunization Action Coalition

This source provides extensive free provider and patient information, including translations of Vaccine Information Statements into multiple languages. The Internet address is <http://www.immunize.org> (accessed November 7, 2001).

### National Network for Immunization Information

This information source is provided by the Infectious Diseases Society of America, Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society, AAP, American Nurses Association, and other professional organizations. It provides objective, science-based information regarding vaccines for the public and providers. The Internet site is <http://www.immunizationinfo.org> (accessed November 7, 2001).

### Vaccine Education Center

Located at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, this source provides patient and provider information. The Internet address is <http://www.vaccine.chop.edu> (accessed November 7, 2001).

### Institute for Vaccine Safety

Located at Johns Hopkins University School of Public Health, this source provides information regarding vaccine safety concerns and objective and timely information to health-care providers and parents. It is available at <http://www.vaccinesafety.edu> (accessed November 7, 2001).

### National Partnership for Immunization

This national organization encourages greater acceptance and use of vaccinations for all ages through partnerships with public and private organizations. Their Internet address is <http://www.partnersforimmunization.org> (accessed November 7, 2001).

### State and Local Health Departments

State and local health departments provide technical advice through hotlines, electronic mail, and Internet sites, including printed information regarding vaccines and immunization schedules, posters, and other educational materials.

### Acknowledgments

The members of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices are grateful for the contributions of Margaret Hostetter, M.D., Yale Child Health Research Center; Mary Staat, M.D., Children's Hospital Medical Center of Cincinnati; Deborah Wexler, M.D., Immunization Action Coalition; and John Grabenstein, Ph.D., U.S. Army Medical Command.

### References

1. CDC. Standards for pediatric immunization practices: recommended by the National Vaccine Advisory Committee; approved by the U.S. Public Health Service. *MMWR* 1993;42(No. RR-5):1-13.\*\*\*
2. CDC. Health objectives for the nation public health burden of vaccine-preventable diseases among adults: standards for adult immunization practice. *MMWR* 1990;39:725-9.\*\*\*
3. CDC. Poliomyelitis prevention in the United States: introduction of a sequential vaccination schedule of inactivated poliovirus vaccine followed by oral poliovirus vaccine; recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR* 1997;46(No. RR-3):1-25.
4. CDC. Poliomyelitis prevention in the United States: updated recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR* 2000;49(No. RR-5):1-22.
5. Plotkin SA. Immunologic correlates of protection induced by vaccination. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2001;20:63-75.
6. CDC. Measles, mumps, and rubella—vaccine use and strategies for elimination of measles, rubella, and congenital rubella syndrome and control of mumps: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR* 1998;47(No. RR-8):1-57.
7. Watson JC, Pearson JA, Markowitz LE, et al. Evaluation of measles revaccination among school-entry-aged children. *Pediatrics* 1996;97:613-8.
8. CDC. Prevention of varicella: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR* 1996;45(No. RR-11):8.
9. CDC. Human rabies prevention—United States, 1999: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR* 1999;48(No. RR-1):1-21.
10. Levine L, Edsall G. Tetanus toxoid: what determines reaction proneness [Letter]? *J Infect Dis* 1981;144:376.
11. Edsall G, Elliot MW, Peebles TC, Levine L, Eldred MC. Excessive use of tetanus toxoid boosters. *JAMA* 1967;202:17-9.
12. Hutchins SS, Escolan J, Markowitz LE, et al. Measles outbreak among unvaccinated preschool-age children: opportunities missed by health care providers to administer measles vaccine. *Pediatrics* 1989;83:369-74.
13. Deforest A, Long SS, Lischner HW, et al. Simultaneous administration of measles-mumps-rubella vaccine with booster doses of diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis and poliovirus vaccines. *Pediatrics* 1988;81:237-46.
14. King GE, Hadler SC. Simultaneous administration of childhood vaccines: an important public health policy that is safe and efficacious. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 1994;13:394-407.
15. Dashefsky B, Wald E, Guerra N, Byers C. Safety, tolerability, and immunogenicity of concurrent administration of *Haemophilus influenzae* type B conjugate vaccine (meningococcal protein conjugate) with either measles-mumps-rubella vaccine or diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis and oral poliovirus vaccines in 14- to 23-month-old infants. *Pediatrics* 1990;85(4 Pt 2):682-9.
16. Giammanco G, Li Volti S, Mauro L, et al. Immune response to simultaneous administration of a recombinant DNA hepatitis B vaccine and multiple compulsory vaccines in infancy. *Vaccine* 1991;9:747-50.
17. Shinefield HR, Black SB, Staehle BO, et al. Safety, tolerability and immunogenicity of concomitant injections in separate locations of M-M-R<sup>®</sup>, VARIVAX<sup>®</sup> and TETRAMUNE<sup>®</sup> in healthy children vs. concomitant injections of M-M-R<sup>®</sup> and TETRAMUNE<sup>®</sup> followed six weeks later by VARIVAX<sup>®</sup>. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 1998;17:980-5.
18. CDC. Typhoid immunization: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR* 1994;43(No. RR-14):1-7.
19. DeStefano F, Goodman RA, Noble GR, McClary GD, Smith SJ, Broome CV. Simultaneous administration of influenza and pneumococcal vaccines. *JAMA* 1982;247:2551-4.
20. Yvonne B, Coursaget P, Deubel V, Diop-Mar I, Digoutte JP, Chiron J. Simultaneous administration of hepatitis B and yellow fever vaccinations. *J Med Virol* 1986;19:307-11.
21. Stefano I, Sato HK, Pannuti CS, et al. Recent immunization against measles does not interfere with the sero-response to yellow fever vaccine. *Vaccine* 1999;17:1042-6.
22. CDC. Yellow fever vaccine: recommendations of the Immunization Practices Advisory Committee (ACIP). *MMWR* 1990;39(No. RR-6):1-6.
23. Shinefield HR, Black S, Ray P, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of heptavalent pneumococcal CRM<sub>197</sub> conjugate vaccine in infants and toddlers. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 1999;18:757-63.
24. CDC. *Haemophilus b* conjugate vaccines for prevention of *Haemophilus influenzae* type b disease among infants and children two months of age and older: recommendations of the Immunization Practices Advisory Committee (ACIP). *MMWR* 1991;40(No. RR-1):1-7.
25. CDC. Pertussis vaccination: use of acellular pertussis vaccines among infants and young children: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR* 1997;46(No. RR-7):1-25.
26. CDC. Preventing pneumococcal disease among infants and young children: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR* 2000;49(No. RR-9):1-35.
27. CDC. Combination vaccines for childhood immunization: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP), the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP), and the American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP). *MMWR* 1999;48(No. RR-5):5.
28. Petralli JK, Merigan TC, Wilbur JR. Action of endogenous interferon against vaccinia infection in children. *Lancet* 1965;2:401-5.
29. Petralli, JK, Merigan TC, Wilbur JR. Circulating interferon after measles vaccination. *N Eng J Med* 1965;273:198-201.
30. CDC. Simultaneous administration of varicella vaccine and other recommended childhood vaccines—United States, 1995-1999. *MMWR* 2001;50:1058-61.

\*\*\* Standards for pediatric, adolescent, and adult immunization practices are being revised and will be posted on CDC's National Immunization Program Internet site (<http://www.cdc.gov/nip>; accessed November 7, 2001) as soon as the updates are available.

31. Siber GR, Werner BC, Halsey NA, et al. Interference of immune globulin with measles and rubella immunization. *J Pediatr* 1993;122:204-11.
32. Mason W, Takahashi M, Schneider T. Persisting passively acquired measles antibody following gamma globulin therapy for Kawasaki disease and response to live virus vaccination [Abstract 311]. Presented at the 32<sup>nd</sup> meeting of the Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, Los Angeles, California, October 1992.
33. Kaplan JE, Nelson DB, Schonberger LB, et al. Effect of immune globulin on the response to trivalent oral poliovirus and yellow fever vaccinations. *Bull World Health Organ* 1984;62:585-90.
34. Black NA, Parsons A, Kurtz JB, McWhinney N, Lacey A, Mayon-White RT. Post-partum rubella immunization: a controlled trial of two vaccines. *Lancet* 1983;2:990-2.
35. CDC. Control and prevention of rubella: evaluation and management of suspected outbreaks, rubella in pregnant women, and surveillance for congenital rubella syndrome. *MMWR* 2001;50(No. RR-12):1-24.
36. Siber GR, Snyderman DR. Use of immune globulin in the prevention and treatment of infections. In: Remington J, Swartz M, eds. *Current clinical topics in infectious diseases*, vol 12. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific, 1992.
37. Greenberg DP, Lieberman JM, Marcy SM, et al. Enhanced antibody responses in infants given different sequences of heterogeneous *Haemophilus influenzae* type B conjugate vaccines. *J Pediatr* 1995;126:206-11.
38. Anderson EL, Decker MD, Englund JA, et al. Interchangeability of conjugated *Haemophilus influenzae* type b vaccines in infants. *JAMA* 1995;273:849-53.
39. Piazza M, Abrescia N, Picciotto L, et al. Demonstration of the interchangeability of 2 types of recombinant anti-hepatitis-B vaccine. *Boll Soc Ital Biol Sper* 1993;69:273-80.
40. Bryan JP, Henry CH, Hoffman AG, et al. Randomized, cross-over, controlled comparison of two inactivated hepatitis A vaccines. *Vaccine* 2000;19:743-50.
41. Greenberg DP, Pickering LK, Senders SD, et al. Interchangeability of two diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis vaccines in infancy. *Pediatrics* 2002 (in press).
42. CDC. Use of diphtheria toxoid-tetanus toxoid-acellular pertussis vaccine as a five-dose series: supplemental recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR* 2000;49(No. RR-13):1-8.
43. CDC. Prevention pneumococcal disease: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR* 1997;46(No. RR-8):1-24.
44. Szilagyi PG, Rodewald LE. Missed opportunities for immunizations: a review of the evidence. *Journal of Public Health Management Practice* 1996;2:18-25.
45. Wald ER, Dashefsky B, Byers C, Guerra N, Taylor F. Frequency and severity of infections in day care. *J Pediatr* 1988;112:540-6.
46. Lewis T, Osborn LM, Lewis K, Brockert J, Jacobsen J, Cherry JD. Influence of parental knowledge and opinions on 12-month diphtheria, tetanus, and pertussis vaccination rates. *Am J Dis Child* 1988;142:283-6.
47. Farizo KM, Stehr-Green PA, Markowitz LE, Patriarca PA. Vaccination levels and missed opportunities for measles vaccination: a record audit in a public pediatric clinic. *Pediatrics* 1992;89:589-92.
48. Halsey NA, Boulos R, Mode F, et al. Response to measles vaccine in Haitian infants 6 to 12 months old: influence of maternal antibodies, malnutrition, and concurrent illnesses. *N Engl J Med* 1985;313:544-9.
49. Ndikuyeze A, Munoz A, Stewart S, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of measles vaccine in ill African children. *Int J Epidemiol* 1988;17:448-55.
50. Lindegren ML, Reynolds S, Atkinson W, Davis A, Falter K, Patriarca P. Adverse events following measles vaccination of ill preschool-aged children [Abstract 270]. Abstracts of the 31<sup>st</sup> Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, September 29-October 2, 1991, Chicago, Illinois:144.
51. Atkinson W, Markowitz L, Baughman A, et al. Serologic response to measles vaccination among ill children [Abstract 422]. Abstracts of the 32<sup>nd</sup> Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, October 1992, Anaheim, California:181.
52. Krober MS, Stracener LE, Bass JW. Decreased measles antibody response after measles-mumps-rubella vaccine in infants with colds. *JAMA* 1991;265:2095-6.
53. Shaw FE Jr, Guess HA, Roets JM, et al. Effect of anatomic injection site, age and smoking on the immune response to hepatitis B vaccination. *Vaccine* 1989;7:425-30.
54. Zuckerman JN. Importance of injecting vaccines into muscle: different patients need different needle sizes. *Brit Med J* 2000;321:1237-8.
55. Ipp MM, Gold R, Goldback M, et al. Adverse reactions to diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis-polio vaccination at 18 months of age: effect of injection site and needle length. *Pediatrics* 1989;83:679-82.
56. Michaels L, Poole RW. Injection granuloma of the buttock. *Can Med Assoc J* 1970;102:626-8.
57. Haramati N, Lorans R, Lutwin M, Kaley RN. Injection granulomas: intramuscle or intrafat? *Arch Fam Med* 1994;3:146-8.
58. Giles FH, French JH. Postinjection sciatic nerve palsies in infants and children. *J Pediatr* 1961;58:195-204.
59. Fishbein DB, Sawyer LA, Reid-Sanden FL, Weir EH. Administration of human diploid-cell rabies vaccine in the gluteal area [Letter]. *N Engl J Med* 1988;318:124-5.
60. Bergeson PS, Singer SA, Kaplan AM. Intramuscular injections in children. *Pediatrics* 1982;70:944-8.
61. Poland GA, Borlund A, Jacobson RM, et al. Determination of deltoid fat pad thickness: implications for needle length in adult immunization. *JAMA* 1997;277:1709-11.
62. Groswasser J, Kahn A, Bouche B, Hanquinet S, Perlmutter N, Hessel L. Needle length and injection technique for efficient intramuscular vaccine delivery in infants and children evaluated through an ultrasonographic determination of subcutaneous and muscle layer thickness. *Pediatrics* 1997;100:400-3.
63. Scheifele D, Bjornson G, Barreto L, Meekison W, Guasparini R. Controlled trial of *Haemophilus influenzae* type b diphtheria toxoid conjugate combined with diphtheria, tetanus and pertussis vaccines, in 18-month-old children, including comparison of arm versus thigh injection. *Vaccine* 1992;10:455-60.
64. Hingson RA, Davis HS, Rosen M. Historical development of jet injection and envisioned uses in mass immunization and mass therapy based upon two decades' experience. *Mil Med* 1963;128:516-24.
65. Reis EC, Jacobson RM, Tarbell S, Weniger BG. Taking the sting out of shots: control of vaccination-associated pain and adverse reactions. *Pediatric Ann* 1998;27:375-85.
66. Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Occupational exposure to bloodborne pathogens; needlestick and other sharps injuries; final rule (29 CFR Part 1910). *Federal Register* 2001;66:5318-25. Available at [http://www.osha-slc.gov/FedReg\\_osh\\_a\\_pdf/FED20010118A.pdf](http://www.osha-slc.gov/FedReg_osh_a_pdf/FED20010118A.pdf). Accessed November 8, 2001.

67. Simonsen L, Kane A, Lloyd J, Zaffran M, Kane M. Unsafe injections in the developing world and transmission of bloodborne pathogens: a review. *Bull World Health Organ* 1999;77:789-800.
68. Kane A, Lloyd J, Zaffran M, Simonsen L, Kane M. Transmission of hepatitis B, hepatitis C and human immunodeficiency viruses through unsafe injections in the developing world: model-based regional estimates. *Bull World Health Organ* 1999;77:801-7.
69. CDC. Needle-free injection technology. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, National Immunization Program, 2001. Available at <[www.cdc.gov/nip/dev/jetinject.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/nip/dev/jetinject.htm). Accessed November 8, 2001.
70. CDC. Hepatitis B associated with jet gun injection—California [Epidemiologic notes and reports]. *MMWR* 1986;35:373-6.
71. Canter J, Mackey K, Good LS, et al. Outbreak of hepatitis B associated with jet injections in a weight reduction clinic. *Arch Intern Med* 1990;150:1923-7.
72. Brito GS, Chen RT, Stefano IC, Campos AM, Oselka G. Risk of transmission of HIV and other blood-born diseases via jet injectors during immunization mass campaigns in Brazil [Abstract PC0132]. 10<sup>th</sup> International Conference on AIDS, Yokohama, 7-12 August 1994;10:301. Available at <http://www.aegis.com/pubs/aidsline/1994/dec/m94c3258.html>. Accessed November 8, 2001.
73. Hoffman PN, Abuknesha RA, Andrews NJ, Samuel D, Lloyd JS. Model to assess the infection potential of jet injectors used in mass immunization. *Vaccine* 2001;19:4020-7.
74. Taddio A, Nulman I, Goldbach M, Ipp M, Koren G. Use of lidocaine-prilocain cream for vaccination pain in infants. *J Pediatr* 1994;124:643-8.
75. Uhari M. Eutectic mixture of lidocaine and prolocaine for alleviating vaccination pain in infants. *Pediatrics* 1993;92:719-21.
76. Halperin SA, McGrath P, Smith B, Houston T. Lidocaine-prilocaine patch decreases the pain associated with subcutaneous administration of measles-mumps-rubella vaccine but does not adversely affect the antibody response. *J Pediatr* 2000;136:789-94.
77. Frayling IM, Addison GM, Chatterge K, Meakin G. Methaemoglobinaemia in children treated with prilocaine-lignocaine cream. *Br Med J* 1990;301:153-4.
78. Lewis K, Cherry JD, Sachs MH, et al. Effect of prophylactic acetaminophen administration on reactions to DTP vaccination. *Am J Dis Child* 1988;142:62-5.
79. Reis E, Holubkov R. Vapocoolant spray is equally effective as EMLA cream in reducing immunization pain in school-aged children. *Pediatrics* 1997;100:e5. Available at <http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/100/6/e5>. Accessed November 8, 2001.
80. Redfield RR, Innis BL, Scott RM, Cannon HG, Bancroft WH. Clinical evaluation of low-dose intradermally administered hepatitis B vaccine: a cost reduction strategy. *JAMA* 1985;254:3203-6.
81. Coleman PJ, Shaw FE, Serovich J, Hadler SC, Margolis HS. Intradermal hepatitis B vaccination in a large hospital employee population. *Vaccine* 1991;9:723-7.
82. CDC. Update: vaccine side effects, adverse reactions, contraindications, and precautions: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR* 1996;45(No. RR-12):1-35.
83. Braun MM, Patriarca PA, Ellenberg SS. Syncope after immunization. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 1997;151:255-9.
84. American Academy of Pediatrics. Active immunization. In: Pickering LK, ed. 2000 red book: report of the Committee on Infectious Diseases. 25<sup>th</sup> ed. Elk Grove Village, IL: American Academy of Pediatrics, 2000.
85. International Health Care Worker Safety Center. List of safety-engineered sharp devices and other products designed to prevent occupational exposures to bloodborne pathogens. Charlottesville, VA: University of Virginia, 2001. Available at <http://www.med.virginia.edu/medcntr/centers/epinet/safetydevice.html>. Accessed November 8, 2001.
86. California Department of Health Services. California list of needleless systems and needles with engineered sharps injury protection. Sacramento, CA: California Department of Health Services, 2001. Available at <http://www.dhs.cahwnet.gov/ohb/SHARPS/disclaim.htm>. Accessed November 8, 2001.
87. National Alliance for the Primary Prevention of Sharps Injuries. NAPPSI: National Alliance for the Primary Prevention of Sharps Injuries. Carlsbad, CA: NAPPSI, 2001. Available at <http://www.nappsi.org>. Accessed November 13, 2001.
88. CDC. Prevention and control of influenza: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR* 2001;50(No. RR-4):1-44.
89. Ambrosch F, Hirschl A, Kollaritsch H, et al. Immunologic investigations with oral live typhoid vaccine Ty21a strain. In: Steffen R, Lobel HO, Bradley DJ, eds. Travel medicine: proceedings of the first Conference on International Travel Medicine. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 1989:248-53.
90. Horowitz H, Carbonaro CA. Inhibition of the *Salmonella typhi* oral vaccine strain, Ty21a, by mefloquine and chloroquine [Letter]. *J Infect Dis* 1992;166:1462-4.
91. Starr S, Berkovich S. Effects of measles, gamma-globulin-modified measles and vaccine measles on the tuberculin test. *N Engl J Med* 1964;270:386-91.
92. Brickman HF, Beaudry PH, Marks MI. Timing of tuberculin tests in relation to immunization with live viral vaccines. *Pediatrics* 1975;55:392-6.
93. Berkovich S, Starr S. Effects of live type 1 poliovirus vaccine and other viruses on the tuberculin test. *N Engl J Med* 1966;274:67-72.
94. Grabenstein JD. Clinical management of hypersensitivities to vaccine components. *Hospital Pharmacy* 1997;32:77-87.
95. Grabenstein JD. *ImmunoFacts: vaccines & immunologic drugs*. St. Louis, MO: Wolters Kluwer Co, Facts and Comparisons, 2001:K1-5.
96. Murphy KR, Strunk RC. Safe administration of influenza vaccine in asthmatic children hypersensitive to egg proteins. *J Pediatr* 1985;106:931-3.
97. Kelso JM, Jones RT, Yunginger JW. Anaphylaxis to measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine mediated by IgE to gelatin. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1993;91:867-72.
98. Sakaguchi M, Ogura H, Inouye S. IgE antibody to gelatin in children with immediate-type reactions to measles and mumps vaccines. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1995;96:563-5.
99. Sakaguchi M, Yamanaka T, Ikeda K, et al. IgE-mediated systemic reactions to gelatin included in the varicella vaccine. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1997;99:263-4.
100. Sakaguchi M, Nakayama T, Inouye S. Food allergy to gelatin in children with systemic immediate-type reactions, including anaphylaxis, to vaccines. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1996;98:1058-61.
101. Reitschel RL, Bernier R. Neomycin sensitivity and the MMR vaccine [Letter]. *JAMA* 1981;245:571.
102. Elliman D, Dhanraj B. Safe MMR vaccination despite neomycin allergy [Letter]. *Lancet* 1991;337:365.

103. CDC. Thimerosal in vaccines: a joint statement of the American Academy of Pediatrics and the Public Health Service [Notice to readers]. *MMWR* 1999;48:563-5.
104. Ball LK, Ball R, Pratt RD. Assessment of thimerosal use in childhood vaccines. *Pediatrics* 2001;107:1147-54.
105. Aberer W. Vaccination despite thimerosal sensitivity. *Contact Dermatitis* 1991;24:6-10.
106. Kirkland LR. Ocular sensitivity to thimerosal: a problem with hepatitis B vaccine? *South Med J* 1990;83:497-9.
107. Cox NH, Forsyth A. Thiomerallergy and vaccination reactions. *Contact Dermatitis* 1988;18:229-33.
108. Möller H. All these positive tests to thimerosal. *Contact Dermatitis* 1994;31:209-13.
109. Wantke F, Demmer CM, Götz M, Jarisch R. Contact dermatitis from thimerosal: 2 year's experience with ethylmercuric chloride in patch testing thimerosal-sensitive patients. *Contact Dermatitis* 1994;30:115-8.
110. Slater JE. Latex allergy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1994;94:139-49.
111. Towse A, O'Brien M, Twarog FJ, Braimon J, Moses A. Local reaction secondary to insulin injection: a potential role for latex antigens in insulin vials and syringes [Short reports]. *Diabetes Care* 1995;18:1195-7.
112. Bastyr EJ. Latex allergen allergic reactions [Letter]. *Diabetes Care* 1996;19:546.
113. MacCracken J, Stenger P, Jackson T. Latex allergy in diabetic patients: a call for latex-free insulin tops [Letter]. *Diabetes Care* 1996;19:184.
114. Lear JT, English JSC. Anaphylaxis after hepatitis B vaccination [Letter]. *Lancet* 1995;345:1249.
115. Bernbaum JC, Daft A, Anolik R, et al. Response of preterm infants to diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis immunizations. *J Pediatr* 1985;107:184-8.
116. Koblin BA, Townsend TR, Munoz A, Onorato I, Wilson M, Polk BF. Response of preterm infants to diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis vaccine. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 1988;7:704-11.
117. Smolen P, Bland R, Heiligenstein E, et al. Antibody response to oral polio vaccine in premature infants. *J Pediatr* 1983;103:917-9.
118. Bernbaum J, Daft A, Samuelson J, Polin RA. Half-dose immunization for diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis: response of preterm infants. *Pediatrics* 1989;83:471-6.
119. Lau YL, Tam AY, Ng KW, et al. Response of preterm infants to hepatitis B vaccine. *J Pediatr* 1992;121:962-5.
120. Patel DM, Butler J, Feldman S, Graves GR, Rhodes PG. Immunogenicity of hepatitis B vaccine in healthy very low birth weight infants. *J Pediatr* 1997;131:641-3.
121. Kim SC, Chung EK, Hodinka RL, et al. Immunogenicity of hepatitis B vaccine in preterm infants. *Pediatrics* 1997;99:534-6.
122. Losonsky GA, Wasserman SS, Stephens I, et al. Hepatitis B vaccination of premature infants: a reassessment of current recommendations for delayed immunization. *Pediatrics* 1999;103:E14.
123. Pickering LK, Granoff DM, Erickson JR, et al. Modulation of the immune system by human milk and infant formula containing nucleotides. *Pediatrics* 1998;101:242-9.
124. Kim-Farley R, Brink E, Orenstein W, Bart K. Vaccination and breast feeding [Letter]. *JAMA* 1982;248:2451-2.
125. Patriaca PA, Wright PF, John TJ. Factors affecting the immunogenicity of oral polio vaccine in developing countries: review. *Rev Infect Dis* 1991;13:926-39.
126. Hahn-Zoric M, Fulconis F, Minoli I, et al. Antibody responses to parenteral and oral vaccines are impaired by conventional and low-protein formulas as compared to breast feeding. *Acta Paediatr Scand* 1990;79:1137-42.
127. Krogh V, Duffy LC, Wong D, Rosenband M, Riddlesberger KR, Ogra PL. Postpartum immunization with rubella virus vaccine and antibody response in breast-feeding infants. *J Lab Clin Med* 1989;113:695-9.
128. Koren G, Pastuszak A, Ito S. Drugs in pregnancy. *N Eng J Med* 1998;338:1128-37.
129. Grabenstein JD. Vaccines and antibodies in relation to pregnancy and lactation. *Hospital Pharmacy* 1999;34:949-60.
130. CDC. Diphtheria, tetanus, and pertussis: recommendations for vaccine use and other preventive measures; recommendations of the Immunization Practices Advisory Committee (ACIP). *MMWR* 1991;40(No. RR-10):1-28.
131. Neuzil KM, Reed GW, Mitchel EF, Simonsen L, Griffin MR. Impact of influenza on acute cardiopulmonary hospitalizations in pregnant women. *Am J Epidemiol* 1998;148:1094-102.
132. CDC. Hepatitis B virus: a comprehensive strategy for eliminating transmission in the United States through universal childhood vaccination; recommendations of the Immunization Practices Advisory Committee (ACIP). *MMWR* 1991;40(No. RR-13):1-25.
133. CDC. Prevention of hepatitis A through active or passive immunization: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR* 1999;48(No. RR-12):1-37.
134. CDC. Prevention and control of meningococcal disease and meningococcal disease and college students: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR* 2000;49(No. RR-7):1-20.
135. Tsai TF, Paul R, Lynberg MC, Letson GW. Congenital yellow fever virus infection after immunization in pregnancy. *J Infect Dis* 1993;168:1520-3.
136. Shields KE, Galil K, Seward J, Sharrar RG, Cordero JF, Slater E. Varicella vaccine exposure during pregnancy: data from the first 5 years of the pregnancy registry. *Obstet Gynecol* 2001;98:14-9.
137. CDC. Revised ACIP recommendation for avoiding pregnancy after receiving a rubella-containing vaccine [Notice to readers]. *MMWR* 2001;50:1117.
138. CDC. Prevention of varicella: update recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR* 1999;48(No. RR-6):1-5.
139. Hlady WG, Bennett JV, Samadi AR, et al. Neonatal tetanus in rural Bangladesh: risk factors and toxoid efficacy. *Am J Publ Health* 1992;82:1365-9.
140. de Quadros CA, Andrus JK, Olive J-M, de Macedo CG. Polio eradication from the Western Hemisphere. *Ann Rev Publ Health* 1992;13:239-52.
141. U.S. Department of State. International adoptions. Washington, DC: US Department of State, 2001. Available at <http://www.travel.state.gov/adopt.html>. Accessed November 13, 2001.
142. Hostetter MK, Johnson DE. Immunization status of adoptees from China, Russia, and Eastern Europe [Abstract 851]. Presented at the 1998 Pediatric Academic Societies Annual Meeting, New Orleans, May 5, 1998.
143. Kriz B, Burian V, Sladky K, et al. Comparison of titration results of diphtheric antitoxin antibody obtained by means of Jensen's method and the method of tissue cultures and haemagglutination. *J Hyg Epidemiol Microbiol Immunol* 1978;22:485-93.
144. Staat MA, Daniels D. Immunization verification in internationally adopted children [Abstract]. *Pediatr Res* 2001;49(4):468a.

145. CDC. Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP): use of vaccines and immune globulins in persons with altered immunocompetence. *MMWR* 1993;42(No. RR-4):1-18.
146. Sixbey JW. Routine immunization of the immunocompromised child. *Adv Pediatr Infect Dis* 1987;2:79-114.
147. Wright PF, Hatch MH, Kasselberg AG, Lowry SP, Wadlington WB, Karzon DT. Vaccine-associated poliomyelitis in a child with sex-linked agammaglobulinemia. *J Pediatr* 1977;91:408-12.
148. Wyatt HV. Poliomyelitis in hypogammaglobulinemics. *J Infect Dis* 1973;128:802-6.
149. Davis LE, Bodian D, Price D, Butler IJ, Vickers JH. Chronic progressive poliomyelitis secondary to vaccination of an immunodeficient child. *N Engl J Med* 1977;297:241-5.
150. CDC. Disseminated *Mycobacterium bovis* infection from BCG vaccination of a patient with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome [Epidemiologic notes and reports]. *MMWR* 1985;34:227-8.
151. Ninane J, Grymonprez A, Burtonboy G, Francois A, Cornu G. Disseminated BCG in HIV infection. *Arch Dis Child* 1988;63:1268-9.
152. Redfield RR, Wright DC, James WD, Jones TS, Brown C, Burke DS. Disseminated vaccinia in a military recruit with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) disease. *N Engl J Med* 1987;316:673-6.
153. CDC. Measles pneumonitis following measles-mumps-rubella vaccination of a patient with HIV infection, 1993. *MMWR* 1996;45:603-6.
154. Sprauer MA, Markowitz LE, Nicholson JKA, et al. Response of human immunodeficiency virus-infected adults to measles-rubella vaccination. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 1993;6:1013-6.
155. McLaughlin M, Thomas P, Onorato I, et al. Live virus vaccines in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children: a retrospective survey. *Pediatrics* 1988;82:229-33.
156. Onorato IM, Markowitz LE, Oxtoby MJ. Childhood immunization, vaccine-preventable diseases and infection with human immunodeficiency virus. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 1988;6:588-95.
157. Palumbo P, Hoyt L, Demasio K, Oleske J, Connor E. Population-based study of measles and measles immunization in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 1992;11:1008-14.
158. Derryck A, LaRussa P, Steinberg S, Capasso M, Pitt J, Gershon AA. Varicella and zoster infection in children with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 1998;17:931-3.
159. CDC. 1994 revised classification system for human immunodeficiency virus infection in children less than 13 years of age. *MMWR* 1994;43(No. RR-12):1-10.
160. Levin MJ, Gershon AA, Weinberg A, et al. Immunization of HIV-infected children with varicella vaccine. *J Pediatr* 2001;139:305-10.
161. CDC. Update on adult immunization recommendations of the Immunization Practices Advisory Committee (ACIP). *MMWR* 1991;40(No. RR-12):1-94.
162. CDC. Guidelines for preventing opportunistic infections among hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients: recommendations of CDC, the Infectious Disease Society of America, and the American Society of Blood and Marrow Transplantation. *MMWR* 2000;49(No. RR-10):1-128.
163. Guinan EC, Molrine DC, Antin JH, et al. Polysaccharide conjugate vaccine responses in bone marrow transplant patients. *Transplantation* 1994;57:677-84.
164. Pauksen K, Hammarstrom V, Ljungman P, et al. Immunity to poliovirus and immunization with inactivated poliovirus vaccine after autologous bone marrow transplantation. *Clin Infect Dis* 1994;18:547-52.
165. Pauksen K, Duraj V, Ljungman P, et al. Immunity to and immunization against measles, rubella and mumps in patients after autologous bone marrow transplantation. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 1992;9:427-32.
166. Ljungman P, Wiklund-Hammarsten M, Duraj V, et al. Response to tetanus toxoid immunization after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. *J Infect Dis* 1990;162:496-500.
167. Ljungman P, Fridell E, Lonnqvist B, et al. Efficacy and safety of vaccination of marrow transplant recipients with a live attenuated measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine. *J Infect Dis* 1989;159:610-5.
168. Evans DI, Shaw A. Safety of intramuscular injection of hepatitis B vaccine in haemophiliacs. *BMJ* 1990;300:1694-5.
169. CDC. Progress in development of immunization registries—United States, 2000. *MMWR* 2001;50:3-7.
170. National Vaccine Advisory Committee (NVAC). Development of community- and state-based immunization registries; approved January 12, 1999. Atlanta, GA: US Department of health and Human Services, CDC, 1999. Available at <http://www.cdc.gov/nip/registry/nvac.htm>. Accessed November 13, 2001.
171. CDC. Development of community- and state-based immunization registries: CDC response to a report from the National Vaccine Advisory Committee. *MMWR* 2001;50(No. RR-17):1-17.
172. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Immunization and infectious diseases [Goal 14-26]. In: *Healthy People 2010* (conference ed, vol 1). Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office, 2000. Available at [http://www.health.gov/healthypeople/Document/HTML/Volume1/14Immunization.htm#\\_Toc494510242](http://www.health.gov/healthypeople/Document/HTML/Volume1/14Immunization.htm#_Toc494510242). Accessed November 13, 2001.
173. CDC. Immunization of health-care workers: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) and the Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee (HICPAC). *MMWR* 1997;46(No. RR-18):1-42.
174. Shefer A, Briss P, Rodewald L, et al. Improving immunization coverage rates: an evidence-based review of the literature. *Epidemiol Rev* 1999;21:96-142.
175. CDC. Vaccine-preventable diseases: improving vaccination coverage in children, adolescents, and adults; a report on recommendations of the Task Force on Community Preventive Services. *MMWR* 1999;48(No. RR-8):1-15.
176. Briss PA, Rodewald LE, Hinman AR, et al. and the Task Force on Community Preventive Services. Reviews of evidence regarding interventions to improve vaccination coverage in children, adolescents, and adults. *Am J Prev Med* 2000;18(1 Suppl):97-140.
177. Task Force on Community Preventive Services. Recommendations regarding interventions to improve vaccination coverage in children, adolescents, and adults. *Am J Prev Med* 2000;18(1 Suppl):92-6.

## Abbreviations Used in This Publication

AAFP	American Academy of Family Physicians
AAP	American Academy of Pediatrics
ACIP	Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices
DT	pediatric diphtheria-tetanus toxoid
DTaP	diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and acellular pertussis vaccine
DTP	diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and whole-cell pertussis vaccine
EIA/ELISA	enzyme immunoassay
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
GBS	Guillain-Barré syndrome
HBIG	hepatitis B immune globulin
HbOC	diphtheria CRM <sub>197</sub> (CRM, cross-reactive material) protein conjugate
HBsAg	hepatitis B surface antigen
Hib	<i>Haemophilus influenzae</i> type b
HIV	human immunodeficiency virus
HSCT	hematopoietic stem cell transplant
IgG	immunoglobulin G
IGIV	intravenous immune globulin
IPV	inactivated poliovirus vaccine
JI	jet injectors
MMR	measles, mumps, rubella vaccine
OPV	oral poliovirus vaccine
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
PCV	pneumococcal conjugate vaccine
PPD	purified protein derivative
PRP-OMP	polyribosylribitol phosphate-meningococcal outer membrane protein
PRP-T	PRP-tetanus
PPV	pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine
Td	adult tetanus-diphtheria toxoid
VAERS	Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System
VAPP	vaccine-associated paralytic polio

## Definitions Used in This Report

**Adverse event.** An untoward event that occurs after a vaccination that might be caused by the vaccine product or vaccination process. It includes events that are 1) vaccine-induced: caused by the intrinsic characteristic of the vaccine preparation and the individual response of the vaccinee; these events would not have occurred without vaccination (e.g., vaccine-associated paralytic poliomyelitis); 2) vaccine-potentiated: would have occurred anyway, but were precipitated by the vaccination (e.g., first febrile seizure in a predisposed child); 3) programmatic error: caused by tech-

nical errors in vaccine preparation, handling, or administration; 4) coincidental: associated temporally with vaccination by chance or caused by underlying illness. Special studies are needed to determine if an adverse event is a reaction or the result of another cause (**Sources:** Chen RT. Special methodological issues in pharmacoepidemiology studies of vaccine safety. In: Strom BL, ed. *Pharmacoepidemiology*. 3rd ed. Sussex, England: John Wiley & Sons, 2000:707-32; and Fenichel GM, Lane DA, Livengood JR, Horwitz SJ, Menkes JH, Schwartz JF. Adverse events following immunization: assessing probability of causation. *Pediatr Neurol* 1989;5:287-90).

**Adverse reaction.** An undesirable medical condition that has been demonstrated to be caused by a vaccine. Evidence for the causal relationship is usually obtained through randomized clinical trials, controlled epidemiologic studies, isolation of the vaccine strain from the pathogenic site, or recurrence of the condition with repeated vaccination (i.e., rechallenge); synonyms include side effect and adverse effect).

**Immunobiologic.** Antigenic substances (e.g., vaccines and toxoids) or antibody-containing preparations (e.g., globulins and antitoxins) from human or animal donors. These products are used for active or passive immunization or therapy. The following are examples of immunobiologics:

**Vaccine.** A suspension of live (usually attenuated) or inactivated microorganisms (e.g., bacteria or viruses) or fractions thereof administered to induce immunity and prevent infectious disease or its sequelae. Some vaccines contain highly defined antigens (e.g., the polysaccharide of *Haemophilus influenzae* type b or the surface antigen of hepatitis B); others have antigens that are complex or incompletely defined (e.g., killed *Bordetella pertussis* or live attenuated viruses).

**Toxoid.** A modified bacterial toxin that has been made nontoxic, but retains the ability to stimulate the formation of antibodies to the toxin.

**Immune globulin.** A sterile solution containing antibodies, which are usually obtained from human blood. It is obtained by cold ethanol fractionation of large pools of blood plasma and contains 15%–18% protein. Intended for intramuscular administration, immune globulin is primarily indicated for routine maintenance of immunity among certain immunodeficient persons and for passive protection against measles and hepatitis A.

**Intravenous immune globulin.** A product derived from blood plasma from a donor pool similar to the immune globulin pool, but prepared so that it is suit-

able for intravenous use. Intravenous immune globulin is used primarily for replacement therapy in primary antibody-deficiency disorders, for treatment of Kawasaki disease, immune thrombocytopenic purpura, hypogammaglobulinemia in chronic lymphocytic leukemia, and certain cases of human immunodeficiency virus infection (Table 2).

**Hyperimmune globulin (specific).** Special preparations obtained from blood plasma from donor pools preselected for a high antibody content against a specific antigen (e.g., hepatitis B immune globulin, varicella-zoster immune globulin, rabies immune globulin, tetanus immune globulin, vaccinia immune globulin, cytomegalovirus immune globulin, respiratory syncytial virus immune globulin, botulism immune globulin).

**Monoclonal antibody.** An antibody product prepared from a single lymphocyte clone, which contains only antibody against a single microorganism.

**Antitoxin.** A solution of antibodies against a toxin. Antitoxin can be derived from either human (e.g., tetanus antitoxin) or animal (usually equine) sources (e.g., diphtheria and botulism antitoxin). Antitoxins are used to confer passive immunity and for treatment.

**Vaccination and Immunization.** The terms *vaccine* and *vaccination* are derived from *vacca*, the Latin term for cow. *Vaccine* was the term used by Edward Jenner to describe material used (i.e., cowpox virus) to produce immunity to smallpox. The term *vaccination* was used by Louis Pasteur in the 19<sup>th</sup> century to include the physical act of administering any vaccine or toxoid. *Immunization* is a more inclusive term, denoting the process of inducing or providing immunity by administering an immunobiologic. Immunization can be active or passive. *Active immunization* is the production of antibody or other immune responses through administration of a vaccine or toxoid. *Passive immunization* means the provision of temporary immunity by the administration of preformed antibodies. Four types of immunobiologics are administered for passive immunization: 1) pooled human immune globulin or intravenous immune globulin, 2) hyperimmune globulin (specific) preparations, 3) monoclonal antibody preparations, and 4) antitoxins from nonhuman sources. Although persons often use the terms *vaccination* and *immunization* interchangeably in reference to active immunization, the terms are not synonymous because the administration of an immunobiologic cannot be equated automatically with development of adequate immunity.





# MMWR™

## Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report

Recommendations and Reports

February 8, 2002 / Vol. 51 / No. RR-2

### Continuing Education Activity Sponsored by CDC General Recommendations on Immunization: Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) and the American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP)

#### EXPIRATION — February 8, 2005

You must complete and return the response form electronically or by mail by **February 8, 2005**, to receive continuing education credit. If you answer all of the questions, you will receive an award letter for 2.0 hours Continuing Medical Education (CME) credit; 0.2 Continuing Education Unit (CEUs); 2.3 contact hours Continuing Nursing Education (CNE) credit; or 2.0 hours Certified Health

Education Specialist (CHES) credit. If you return the form electronically, you will receive educational credit immediately. If you mail the form, you will receive educational credit in approximately 30 days. No fees are charged for participating in this continuing education activity.

#### INSTRUCTIONS

##### By Internet

1. Read this *MMWR* (Vol. 51, RR-2), which contains the correct answers to the questions beginning on the next page.
2. Go to the *MMWR* Continuing Education Internet site at <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/cme/conted.html>.
3. Select which exam you want to take and select whether you want to register for CME, CEU, CNE, or CHES credit.
4. Fill out and submit the registration form.
5. Select exam questions. To receive continuing education credit, you must answer all of the questions. Questions with more than one correct answer will instruct you to "Indicate all that apply."
6. Submit your answers no later than **February 8, 2005**.
7. Immediately print your Certificate of Completion for your records.

##### By Mail or Fax

1. Read this *MMWR* (Vol. 51, RR-2), which contains the correct answers to the questions beginning on the next page.
2. Complete all registration information on the response form, including your name, mailing address, phone number, and e-mail address, if available.
3. Indicate whether you are registering for CME, CEU, CNE, or CHES credit.
4. Select your answers to the questions, and mark the corresponding letters on the response form. To receive continuing education credit, you must answer all of the questions. Questions with more than one correct answer will instruct you to "Indicate all that apply."
5. Sign and date the response form or a photocopy of the form and send no later than **February 8, 2005**, to  
Fax: 404-639-4198 Mail: MMWR CE Credit  
Office of Scientific and Health Communications  
Epidemiology Program Office, MS C-08  
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention  
1600 Clifton Rd, N.E.  
Atlanta, GA 30333
6. Your Certificate of Completion will be mailed to you within 30 days.

#### ACCREDITATION

**Continuing Medical Education (CME).** CDC is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) to provide continuing medical education for physicians. CDC designates this educational activity for a maximum of 2.0 hours in category 1 credit toward the AMA Physician's Recognition Award. Each physician should claim only those hours of credit that he/she actually spent in the educational activity.

**Continuing Education Unit (CEU).** CDC has been approved as an authorized provider of continuing education and training programs by the International Association for Continuing Education and Training and awards 0.2 Continuing Education Unit (CEUs).

**Continuing Nursing Education (CNE).** This activity for 2.3 contact hours is provided by CDC, which is accredited as a provider of continuing education in nursing by the American Nurses Credentialing Center's Commission on Accreditation.

**Certified Health Education Specialist (CHES).** CDC is a designated provider of continuing education contact hours in health education by the National Commission for Health Education Credentialing, Inc. This program is a designated event for CHES to receive 2.0 hours in category 1 credit in health education, CDC provider number GA0082.

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION

SAFER • HEALTHIER • PEOPLE™

### Goal and Objectives

This *MMWR* provides general guidelines on immunizations. These recommendations were developed by CDC staff, the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP), and the American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP). The goal of this report is to improve vaccination practices in the United States. Upon completion of this activity, the reader should be able to a) identify valid contraindications and precautions for commonly used vaccines; b) locate the minimum age and minimum spacing between doses for vaccines routinely used in the United States; c) describe recommended methods for administration of vaccines; and d) list requirements for vaccination providers as specified by the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986.

To receive continuing education credit, please answer all of the following questions.

- Which of the following is not a vaccination provider requirement as specified by the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986?
  - Provide a copy of the relevant current edition of the Vaccine Information Statement before each dose of vaccine.
  - Obtain a signed consent before administration of vaccine.
  - Record information regarding the vaccine in the recipient's permanent medical record.
  - Report certain vaccine adverse events to the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS).
  - All of the above are required by the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986.
- What is the preferred option for spacing of tuberculin skin testing (purified protein derivative [PPD]) and administration of measles-containing vaccine?
  - PPD and measles-containing vaccine administered at the same visit.
  - PPD administered 72 hours before measles-containing vaccine.
  - PPD administered 4 weeks before measles-containing vaccine.
  - Measles-containing vaccine administered 72 hours before PPD.
  - Measles-containing vaccine administered 4 weeks before PPD.
- What is the minimum age for administration of the second dose of inactivated poliovirus vaccine?
  - Four weeks.
  - Six weeks.
  - Ten weeks.
  - Sixteen weeks.
  - Twenty-four weeks.
- A recent transfusion of whole blood is most likely to interfere with the response to which of the following vaccines?
  - Inactivated poliovirus vaccine.
  - Yellow fever vaccine.
  - Hepatitis B vaccine.
  - Measles vaccine.
  - Adult formulation of tetanus-diphtheria toxoid.
- Which of the following is a valid contraindication to the administration of varicella vaccine?
  - Pregnancy.
  - Child who is being breast-fed.
  - Immunodeficient sibling living in the household.
  - Current antibiotic therapy.
  - All of the above are valid contraindications to the administration of varicella vaccine.
- What action is recommended if varicella vaccine is inadvertently administered 10 days after a dose of measles-mumps-rubella (MMR) vaccine?
  - Repeat both vaccines  $\geq 4$  weeks after the varicella vaccine was administered.
  - Repeat only the MMR vaccine  $\geq 4$  weeks after the varicella.
  - Repeat only the varicella vaccine  $\geq 4$  weeks after the inadvertently administered dose of varicella vaccine.
  - Repeat only the varicella vaccine  $\geq 6$  months after the inadvertently administered dose of varicella vaccine.
  - No action is recommended; both doses are counted as valid.
- What is the minimum needle length recommended for intramuscular injection of an infant?
  - $\frac{1}{2}$  inch.
  - $\frac{5}{8}$  inch.
  - $\frac{7}{8}$  inch.
  - 1 inch.
  - $1\frac{1}{4}$  inch.
- Which of the following approaches is recommended for the vaccination of a person with substantial immunodeficiency?
  - Inactivated vaccine should be administered as indicated without regard to the immunodeficiency.
  - Live attenuated viral vaccines should generally not be administered to persons with severe immunodeficiency.
  - Persons with humoral immunodeficiency should receive varicella vaccine if indicated.
  - Live attenuated viral vaccines should be administered to susceptible household contacts of immunodeficient persons.
  - All of the above are approaches recommended for the vaccination of a person with substantial immunodeficiency.
- What action is recommended if the interval between doses of hepatitis B vaccine is longer than the recommended interval?
  - Add one additional dose.
  - Add two additional doses.
  - Restart the series from the beginning.
  - Perform a serologic test to determine if a response to the vaccine has been obtained.
  - Continue the series, ignoring the prolonged interval.
- Indicate your work setting.
  - State/local health department.
  - Other public health setting.
  - Hospital clinic/private practice.
  - Managed care organization.
  - Academic institution.
  - Other work setting.
- Which best describes your professional activities?
  - Patient care — emergency or urgent care.
  - Patient care — inpatient.
  - Patient care — primary care clinic or office.
  - Laboratory or pharmacy.
  - Public health.
  - Other.
- I plan to use these recommendations as the basis for . . . (Indicate all that apply.)
  - health education materials.
  - insurance reimbursement policies.
  - local practice guidelines.
  - public policy.
  - other uses.
- Have you administered  $\geq 1$  doses of vaccine in the last 12 months?
  - Yes.
  - No.

- 14. How much time did you spend reading this report and completing the exam and evaluation?
  - A. Less than 2 hours.
  - B. 2-2.5 hours.
  - C. 2.5-3 hours.
  - D. More than 3 hours.
- 15. After reading this report, I am confident I can identify valid contraindications and precautions for commonly used vaccines.
  - A. Strongly agree.
  - B. Agree.
  - C. Neither agree nor disagree.
  - D. Disagree.
  - E. Strongly disagree.
- 16. After reading this report, I am confident I can locate the minimum age and minimum spacing between doses for vaccines routinely used in the United States.
  - A. Strongly agree.
  - B. Agree.
  - C. Neither agree nor disagree.
  - D. Disagree.
  - E. Strongly disagree.
- 17. After reading this report, I am confident I can describe recommended methods for administration of vaccines.
  - A. Strongly agree.
  - B. Agree.
  - C. Neither agree nor disagree.
  - D. Disagree.
  - E. Strongly disagree.

- 18. After reading this report, I am confident I can list requirements for vaccination providers as specified by the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986.
  - A. Strongly agree.
  - B. Agree.
  - C. Neither agree nor disagree.
  - D. Disagree.
  - E. Strongly disagree.
- 19. The objectives are relevant to the goal of this report.
  - A. Strongly agree.
  - B. Agree.
  - C. Neither agree nor disagree.
  - D. Disagree.
  - E. Strongly disagree.
- 20. The tables are useful.
  - A. Strongly agree.
  - B. Agree.
  - C. Neither agree nor disagree.
  - D. Disagree.
  - E. Strongly disagree.
- 21. Overall, the format of the report enhanced my ability to understand the material.
  - A. Strongly agree.
  - B. Agree.
  - C. Neither agree nor disagree.
  - D. Disagree.
  - E. Strongly disagree.

Detach or photocopy.

**MMWR Response Form for Continuing Education Credit  
 February 8, 2002/Vol. 51/No. RR-2  
 General Recommendations on Immunization: Recommendations  
 of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP)  
 and the American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP)**

To receive continuing education credit, you must  
 1. provide your contact information;  
 2. indicate your choice of CME, CEU, CNE, or CHES credit;  
 3. answer all of the test questions;  
 4. sign and date this form or a photocopy;  
 5. submit your answer form by February 8, 2005.  
 Failure to complete these items can result in a delay or rejection of  
 your application for continuing education credit.

CME Credit  
 CEU Credit  
 CNE Credit  
 CHES Credit

Last Name \_\_\_\_\_ First Name \_\_\_\_\_  
 Street Address or P.O. Box \_\_\_\_\_  
 Apartment or Suite \_\_\_\_\_  
 City \_\_\_\_\_ State \_\_\_\_\_ ZIP Code \_\_\_\_\_  
 Phone Number \_\_\_\_\_ Fax Number \_\_\_\_\_  
 E-Mail Address \_\_\_\_\_

Fill in the appropriate blocks to indicate your answers. Remember, you must answer all of the questions to receive continuing education credit!

1. <input type="checkbox"/> A	<input type="checkbox"/> B	<input type="checkbox"/> C	<input type="checkbox"/> D	<input type="checkbox"/> E	14. <input type="checkbox"/> A	<input type="checkbox"/> B	<input type="checkbox"/> C	<input type="checkbox"/> D
2. <input type="checkbox"/> A	<input type="checkbox"/> B	<input type="checkbox"/> C	<input type="checkbox"/> D	<input type="checkbox"/> E	15. <input type="checkbox"/> A	<input type="checkbox"/> B	<input type="checkbox"/> C	<input type="checkbox"/> D
3. <input type="checkbox"/> A	<input type="checkbox"/> B	<input type="checkbox"/> C	<input type="checkbox"/> D	<input type="checkbox"/> E	16. <input type="checkbox"/> A	<input type="checkbox"/> B	<input type="checkbox"/> C	<input type="checkbox"/> D
4. <input type="checkbox"/> A	<input type="checkbox"/> B	<input type="checkbox"/> C	<input type="checkbox"/> D	<input type="checkbox"/> E	17. <input type="checkbox"/> A	<input type="checkbox"/> B	<input type="checkbox"/> C	<input type="checkbox"/> D
5. <input type="checkbox"/> A	<input type="checkbox"/> B	<input type="checkbox"/> C	<input type="checkbox"/> D	<input type="checkbox"/> E	18. <input type="checkbox"/> A	<input type="checkbox"/> B	<input type="checkbox"/> C	<input type="checkbox"/> D
6. <input type="checkbox"/> A	<input type="checkbox"/> B	<input type="checkbox"/> C	<input type="checkbox"/> D	<input type="checkbox"/> E	19. <input type="checkbox"/> A	<input type="checkbox"/> B	<input type="checkbox"/> C	<input type="checkbox"/> D
7. <input type="checkbox"/> A	<input type="checkbox"/> B	<input type="checkbox"/> C	<input type="checkbox"/> D	<input type="checkbox"/> E	20. <input type="checkbox"/> A	<input type="checkbox"/> B	<input type="checkbox"/> C	<input type="checkbox"/> D
8. <input type="checkbox"/> A	<input type="checkbox"/> B	<input type="checkbox"/> C	<input type="checkbox"/> D	<input type="checkbox"/> E	21. <input type="checkbox"/> A	<input type="checkbox"/> B	<input type="checkbox"/> C	<input type="checkbox"/> D
9. <input type="checkbox"/> A	<input type="checkbox"/> B	<input type="checkbox"/> C	<input type="checkbox"/> D	<input type="checkbox"/> E	22. <input type="checkbox"/> A	<input type="checkbox"/> B	<input type="checkbox"/> C	<input type="checkbox"/> D
10. <input type="checkbox"/> A	<input type="checkbox"/> B	<input type="checkbox"/> C	<input type="checkbox"/> D	<input type="checkbox"/> E	23. <input type="checkbox"/> A	<input type="checkbox"/> B	<input type="checkbox"/> C	<input type="checkbox"/> D
11. <input type="checkbox"/> A	<input type="checkbox"/> B	<input type="checkbox"/> C	<input type="checkbox"/> D	<input type="checkbox"/> E	24. <input type="checkbox"/> A	<input type="checkbox"/> B	<input type="checkbox"/> C	<input type="checkbox"/> D
12. <input type="checkbox"/> A	<input type="checkbox"/> B	<input type="checkbox"/> C	<input type="checkbox"/> D	<input type="checkbox"/> E				
13. <input type="checkbox"/> A	<input type="checkbox"/> B	<input type="checkbox"/> C	<input type="checkbox"/> D	<input type="checkbox"/> E				

Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date I Completed Exam \_\_\_\_\_

**22. These recommendations will affect my practice.**

- A. Strongly agree.
- B. Agree.
- C. Neither agree nor disagree.
- D. Disagree.
- E. Strongly disagree.

**23. The availability of continuing education credit influenced my decision to read this report.**

- A. Strongly agree.
- B. Agree.
- C. Neither agree nor disagree.
- D. Disagree.
- E. Strongly disagree.

**24. How did you learn about this continuing education activity?**

- A. Internet.
- B. Advertisement (e.g., fact sheet, *MMWR* cover, newsletter, or journal).
- C. Coworker/supervisor.
- D. Conference presentation.
- E. *MMWR* subscription.
- F. Other.

Correct answers for questions 1-9  
1. B; 2. A; 3. C; 4. D; 5. A; 6. C; 7. C; 8. E; 9. E.

## **Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices Membership List, June 2001**

**Chairman:** John F. Modlin, M.D., Professor of Pediatrics and Medicine, Dartmouth Medical School, Lebanon, New Hampshire.

**Executive Secretary:** Dixie E. Snider, Jr., M.D., Associate Director for Science, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia.

**Members:** Dennis A. Brooks, M.D., Johnson Medical Center, Baltimore, Maryland; Richard D. Clover, M.D., University of Louisville School of Medicine, Louisville, Kentucky; Jaime Deseda-Tous, M.D., San Jorge Children's Hospital, San Juan, Puerto Rico; Charles M. Helms, M.D., Ph.D., University of Iowa Hospital and Clinics, Iowa City, Iowa; David R. Johnson, M.D., Michigan Department of Community Health, Lansing, Michigan; Myron J. Levin, M.D., University of Colorado School of Medicine, Denver, Colorado; Paul A. Offit, M.D., Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; Margaret B. Rennels, M.D., University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland; Natalie J. Smith, M.D., California Department of Health Services, Berkeley, California; Lucy S. Tompkins, M.D., Ph.D., Stanford University Medical Center, Stanford, California; Bonnie M. Word, M.D., Monmouth Junction, New Jersey.

**Ex-Officio Members:** James Cheek, M.D., Indian Health Service, Albuquerque, New Mexico; Carole Heilman, M.D., National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland; Karen Midthun, M.D., Food and Drug Administration, Bethesda, Maryland; Martin G. Myers, M.D., National Vaccine Program Office, Atlanta, Georgia; Kristin Lee Nichol, M.D., VA Medical Center, Minneapolis, Minnesota; Col. Benedict M. Didiaga, M.D., Department of Defense, Falls Church, Virginia; Geoffrey S. Evans, M.D., Health Resources and Services Administration, Rockville, Maryland; T. Randolph Graydon, Health Care Financing Administration, Baltimore, Maryland.

**Liaison Representatives:** American Academy of Family Physicians, Martin Mahoney, M.D., Ph.D., Clarence, New York; Richard Zimmerman, M.D., Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; American Academy of Pediatrics, Jon Abramson, M.D., Winston-Salem, North Carolina; Gary Overturf, M.D., Albuquerque, New Mexico; American Association of Health Plans, Eric K. France, M.D., Denver, Colorado; American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, Stanley A. Gall, M.D., Louisville, Kentucky; American College of Physicians, Kathleen M. Neuzil, M.D., Seattle, Washington; American Hospital Association, William Schaffner, M.D., Nashville, Tennessee; American Medical Association, H. David Wilson, M.D., Grand Forks, North Dakota; Association of Teachers of Preventive Medicine, W. Paul McKinney, M.D., Louisville, Kentucky; Canadian National Advisory Committee on Immunization, Victor Marchessault, M.D., Cumberland, Ontario, Canada; Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee, Jane D. Siegel, M.D., Dallas, Texas; Infectious Diseases Society of America, Samuel L. Katz, M.D., Durham, North Carolina; London Department of Health, David M. Salisbury, M.D., London, United Kingdom; National Immunization Council and Child Health Program, Mexico, Jose Ignacio Santos, M.D., Mexico City, Mexico; National Medical Association, Rudolph E. Jackson, M.D., Atlanta, Georgia; National Vaccine Advisory Committee, Georges Peter, M.D., Providence, Rhode Island; Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America, Kevin Reilly, Radnor, Pennsylvania.

### **Members of the General Recommendations on Immunization Working Group**

Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP), Lucy Tompkins, M.D.; Chinh Le, M.D.; Richard Clover, M.D.; Natalie Smith, M.D. ACIP Liaison and Ex-Officio Members, David H. Trump, M.D., Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs); Pierce Gardner, M.D., American College of Physicians; Georges Peter, M.D., National Vaccine Advisory Committee; Victor Marchessault, M.D., Canadian National Advisory Committee on Immunization; Geoffrey Evans, M.D., Health Resources and Services Administration; Richard Zimmerman, M.D., American Academy of Family Physicians; Larry Pickering, M.D., American Academy of Pediatrics; CDC staff member, William L. Atkinson, M.D.; other members and consultants, Margaret Hostetter, M.D., Yale Child Health Research Center; Mary Staat, M.D., Children's Hospital Medical Center of Cincinnati; Deborah Wexler, M.D., Immunization Action Coalition; John Grabenstein, Ph.D., U.S. Army Medical Command; Thomas Vernon, M.D., Merck Vaccine Division; and Fredrick Ruben, M.D., Aventis-Pasteur.

All *MMWR* references are available on the Internet at <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr>. Use the search function to find specific articles.

Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

References to non-CDC sites on the Internet are provided as a service to *MMWR* readers and do not constitute or imply endorsement of these organizations or their programs by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. CDC is not responsible for the content of these sites.

## MMWR

---

The *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR)* series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available free of charge in electronic format and on a paid subscription basis for paper copy. To receive an electronic copy on Friday of each week, send an e-mail message to [listserv@listserv.cdc.gov](mailto:listserv@listserv.cdc.gov). The body content should read *SUBscribe mmwr-toc*. Electronic copy also is available from CDC's Internet server at <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr> or from CDC's file transfer protocol server at <ftp://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/Publications/mmwr>. To subscribe for paper copy, contact Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone 202-512-1800.

Data in the weekly *MMWR* are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the following Friday. Address inquiries about the *MMWR* series, including material to be considered for publication, to Editor, *MMWR* Series, Mailstop C-08, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone 888-232-3228.

All material in the *MMWR* series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission; however, citation of the source is appreciated.

☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 2002-733-100/69005 Region IV

---